



News and Current Events :: Vice President Dick Cheney's 1994 Prophecy

Vice President Dick Cheney's 1994 Prophecy - posted by pastorfrin, on: 2007/8/17 18:11

Brothers and Sisters,
I believe our Vice President is a prophet.
Check it out.

Vice President Dick Cheney on why America shouldn't invade Iraq (1994)

LiveLeak.com - Dick Cheney on why America shouldn't invade Iraq (1994)

Dick Cheney on why America shouldn't invade Iraq (1994) ... Tags: dick cheney iraq war iraq invasion 1994. Views: 279
99. Votes: 27. Comments: 97 ...

www.liveleak.com/view?i=9a8_1186873756 - 18k - Cached - Similar pages

Re: Vice President Dick Cheney's 1994 Prophecy, on: 2007/8/18 3:56

Speechless (for once).

Re: Vice President Dick Cheney's 1994 Prophecy - posted by crsschk (), on: 2007/8/18 9:41

Please read the updated disclaimer linked at bottom here.

Saints, please, there has been enough aggitation here of late with these matters.

Re: - posted by pastorfrin, on: 2007/8/18 12:26

Quote:

-----Saints, please, there has been enough aggitation here of late with these matters.

Brother Mike,

When do words spoken in context from a leader's mouth become agitation? The truth is the truth, there is nothing political about this clip it is simply showing the fallibility of man. You know I am not political and thus have no interest in character bashing.

We have so many that are looking to man for the answer and all they will find there is a curse. We are blessed only if we put our complete trust and hope in the Lord Jesus Christ.

Jeremiah 17:5-8

Thus saith the Lord; Cursed be the man that trusteth in man, and maketh flesh his arm, and whose heart departeth from the Lord. For he shall be like the heath in the desert, and shall not see when good cometh; but shall inhabit the parched places in the wilderness, in a salt land and not inhabited. Blessed is the man that trusteth in the Lord, and whose hope the Lord is. For he shall be as a tree planted by the waters, and that spreadeth out her roots by the river, and shall not see when heat cometh, but her leaf shall be green; and shall not be careful in the year of drought, neither shall cease from yielding fruit.

If I have broken a rule please accept my apology for it was not intentional.

In His Love
pastorfrin

Re: - posted by ccchhrrriiiss () , on: 2007/8/18 14:28

Hi pastorfrin...

I would recommend the book (<http://www.amazon.com/Bush-at-War-Bob-Woodward/dp/0743204735>) Bush At War (by Pulitzer Prize winning journalist Bob Woodward of the *Washington Post*) for anyone seriously interested in the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq. While the book paints a harsh assessment about certain members of the Bush Administration (particularly Donald Rumsfeld), it is an "insider's guide" into the foreknowledge, rationale, concerns and policy about the wars. It has actually been criticized due for revealing TOO MUCH information about the process which could compromise national security. However, it serves to dispell many of the rumours and conspiracy theories about the war.

:-)

By the way, it actually mentions Vice President Cheney's "prophetic" remarks from 1994 (and 1996).

I understand the hesitation to allow such threads, since they seem to provoke strong disagreements that never become fully resolved. This seems to go against the purpose of a website meant to unify believers toward true Scriptural revival.

Re: - posted by PaulWest () , on: 2007/8/18 15:08

Quote:

-----When do words spoken in context from a leader's mouth become agitation

Brother,

It becomes agitation when they are politically embroiled. They have a knack for causing too many heated debates in these forums, though I certainly understand where you're coming from by posting this. As a personal guideline, I try to avoid submitting anything of a political nature, anything smacking of the slightest hint of Bush, Cheney, Iraq, etc. We should not be ignorant of the enemy's devices. Political snippets like these are often the skeleton keys by which Satan unlocks doors of strife and ill-feelings between the brethren.

Brother Paul

Re: - posted by pastorfrin , on: 2007/8/19 13:33

Brother Chris,

This was not posted as a political article nor was it meant to be, if there is anything political, it would be what you have added to it: What conspiracy theory?

If you wish to trust in man, than that is completely up to you, but I would not recommended you encourage others to do so; as for me and my house, we will trust in the Lord whom our hope is in. I will attempt in the future, not to post anything that would possibly be a stumbling block.

In His Love
pastorfrin

Re:, on: 2007/8/19 14:02

What is it with this whole "prophet" kick lately?? Who is the next one we crown "prophet" on this site? Mr. Rogers??

Oh, I know... Popeye!

Dick Chaney is not a prophet. Jimmy Carter is not a prophet. Bono from U2 is not a prophet. Elvis might have been... but I can't prove it.

Can we please dispense of this foolishness?

Also, lately whenever someone posts anything even remotely political, their true intentions of "stirring the pot", as it were, are usually revealed rather quickly. Not sure if this is your intention, but please understand why some of us recoil when things like this come up.

Wanna discuss politics? Go find one of the thousands of blogs and forums. Most of us would prefer not to get into arguments over politics here.

Having said that, our leadership and military know 100 times more than the average civilian what is going on in the world. The networks do a good job of shoveling... uhm, er... manure.

While the government is obviously far from perfect, I trust them more than I trust the media. I've seen first hand the distorted spins the media puts on nearly every story they do.

Krispy

Re: Vice President Dick Cheney's 1994 Prophecy - posted by jordanamo, on: 2007/8/19 14:48

Yeah it's pretty interesting. A bit chilling. Cheney seemingly changed his mind when he became CEO of Halliburton a year later... and had financial interests in Iraq.

This shows if anything that the people in power typically are not really that stupid (he appears rather intelligent here), they just are simply evil. He knew going in there would be bad, it would create chaos, it wasn't worth the human lives. But he went in anyway. What nonsense.

3,000+ US Soldiers are dead now for a war that was fought on a preemptive basis without any just cause whatsoever. I respect the right of countries to wage war on legal and just grounds as codified in international law, but not unjust ones where there is no imminent, actual threat whatsoever and complete faulty intelligence. Hundreds of thousands of Iraqis (mostly civilians!) have died from this war. Where's the outrage from Christians?

We should be praying for peace in there and abroad.

A nationalist is someone who not only overlooks atrocities committed by his own side. He has a remarkable capacity for not even hearing about them.

--George Orwell, "Notes on Nationalism"

Jordan

Re: - posted by pastorfrin, on: 2007/8/19 15:03

Thank you Jordan,

Finally someone who is willing to not discuss politics but the unjust methods used by the governments of this world. Man is fallible and we cannot put our trust in them. Satan offered our Lord Jesus Christ all the kingdoms of this world if he would bow down and worship him, why do so many not see that Satan has not changed and men are ACCEPTING HIS OFFER EVERY DAY.

Our trust must be in the Lord for He is our Hope.

In His Love
pastorfrin

Re: - posted by pastorfrin, on: 2007/8/19 18:13

Krispy,

Is he really, Popeye is a prophet? I never would have thunk it.

Of course Dick Cheney is not a prophet, but you have to admit, he had it right and did it anyway. You see Krispy, it makes no difference to me what party or what the name of the man is, I would post it anyway, because I do not put my trust in any earthly man or any political party.

Jesus said: John 18:36-37

Jesus answered, My kingdom is not of this world: if my kingdom were of this world, then would my servants fight, that I should not be delivered to the Jews: but now is my kingdom not from hence. Pilate therefore said unto him, Art thou a king then? Jesus answered, Thou sayest that I am a king. To this end was I born, and for this cause came I into the world, that I should bear witness unto the truth. Every one that is of the truth heareth my voice.

It is in Him, and He in us, Jesus Christ our Lord, whose kingdom is not of this world, this is where we should put our trust

I sure am glad you know my intentions since they have already been posted, but you believe what you want, and as far as politics go if you have read any of my previous post, you know your accusations are false. You folks are the only ones mentioning politics and where you put your trust is your business, as I said before, as for me and my house we will trust in the Lord, for He is our Hope.

Jeremiah 17:5-8

Thus saith the Lord; Cursed be the man that trusteth in man, and maketh flesh his arm, and whose heart departeth from the Lord. For he shall be like the heath in the desert, and shall not see when good cometh; but shall inhabit the parched places in the wilderness, in a salt land and not inhabited. Blessed is the man that trusteth in the Lord, and whose hope the Lord is. For he shall be as a tree planted by the waters, and that spreadeth out her roots by the river, and shall not see when heat cometh, but her leaf shall be green; and shall not be careful in the year of drought, neither shall cease from yielding fruit.

Those who trust in man shall be deceived:

Matthew 24:24

For there shall arise false Christs, and false prophets, and shall shew great signs and wonders; insomuch that, if it were possible, they shall deceive the very elect.

Mark 13:22

For false Christs and false prophets shall rise, and shall shew signs and wonders, to seduce, if it were possible, even the elect.

Who is it that fights against the Lord?

Rev. 16:13-15

And I saw three unclean spirits like frogs come out of the mouth of the dragon, and out of the mouth of the beast, and out of the mouth of the false prophet. For they are the spirits of devils, working miracles, which go forth unto the kings of the earth and of the whole world, to gather them to the battle of that great day of God Almighty. Behold, I come as a thief. Blessed is he that watcheth, and keepeth his garments, lest he walk naked, and they see his shame.

Rev. 19:19

And I saw the beast, and the kings of the earth, and their armies, gathered together to make war against him that sat on the horse, and against his army.

We must make sure we are not among them, and our only hope is in the Lord, for

“Blessed is the man that trusteth in the Lord, and whose hope the Lord is.”

In His Love
pastorfrin

Re:, on: 2007/8/19 18:45

Hi all

Usually I avoid politics and politicians like the plague, but was intrigued by the title of this thread.

Balam and Caiaphas both prophesied true things. Probably Nostradamus did too.

So maybe godless politicians might as well?

I know nothing of where Dick Cheney stands spiritually, but even if he's a thoroughly evil man he may still be somehow used by God to further His purposes or even speak something that turns out to be prophetic.

Just because a politician (maybe) prophesies doesn't mean a discussion of it is anything to do with politics. Or that anyone who comments is thereby breaking the SI rules of no politics.

Also, I wonder what would have happened if some Biblical prophets refused to get involved in the affairs of their nation, or even other nations?

Daniel, Jeremiah? Amos? Isaiah...

Let's get this in proportion - screaming "Verboten!" every time the name of a politician is mentioned is surely a bit of an over reaction! Even if some threads did get over political and nasty doesn't mean that this one will.

Yours in Him who is Ruler of the whole Earth

Jeannette

Re: - posted by ccchhrrriiiss () , on: 2007/8/20 9:32

Hi LittleGift...

What makes us inclined to think that this statement was a "prophecy?"

A shared thought, belief, or speculation -- like the one private citizen Dick Cheney shared about Iraq in 1994 -- is far from "prophecy" in a Biblical sense. It just indicates that he had an opinion in 1994 that differs from the one he had today. Why? There are a number of possibilities. Since he was no longer in office, it could reflect his outside opinion about the situation in Iraq. It could reflect a difference in what he thought that he knew about Iraq in 1994 from what he thought that he knew in 2003. Or he could simply have altered his "belief" because of ulterior political motivation. The fact is that none of us can know enough to articulate the motive.

Regardless, we should be careful about applying the term "prophet" or "prophecy" to anyone who simply shared a thought or speculation that seemingly came to pass (like Dick Cheney, President Carter, or anyone else). If this were the case, we could apply the term "prophet" to weathermen, scientists or chess players.

There is a huge difference from sharing a speculation or opinion about what could possibly happen in the future (based upon our own knowledgable perspective) from "prophecy."

:-)

Re: - posted by ccchhrrriiiss () , on: 2007/8/20 10:20

Hi pastorfrin...

No offense is taken. I apologize if my post seemed like an indictment. That certainly wasn't my intention, and I think that another reading of the post will reveal that. Regardless, I didn't mean to articulate that YOU were part of some conspiracy theory. I am only talking about the numerous conspiracy theories that work their way around the internet, some examples of the broadcast media and even in these forums.

Which conspiracy theories? Take your pick! The following are notions that often go reported or assumed by certain

vocal individuals around the Internet.

Quote:
-----1. Bush is an unintelligent man who "cheated" his way through college.
2. Bush is just the "front man" for a government run by a select committee.
3. The war in Iraq is for control of Iraqi oil and/or lucrative defense contracts.
4. Bush is a "wolf in sheep's clothing" who used a war in Iraq to obtain some ulterior motive (other than the removal of a possible threat to the United States).
5. Bush is not a christian, but uses christianity to win favor of gullible, unsuspecting christian voters.
Etc...

These are just a taste of some of the "conspiracy theories" that have been expressed over the last few years! While these are simply a few of the conspiracy theories about the current Administration, there are many more concerning all matters of politics and doctrine. While one or more of these sort of assertions could be at least partially true, they cannot be asserted as fact without underlying, firsthand evidence. To disagree with them as presented, however, does not merit an accusation about "trusting in man."

Please understand that I am not trying to further this conversation by "getting the last word" in a place that frowns upon vain political discussions. I just wanted to answer your question about some of the conspiracy theories that have "run amuck" on the internet. I certainly wouldn't accuse you of this, since I have never witnessed any sort of political discussion from you except a possible involvement in the advancement and justification for a form of Christian pacifism.

In regards to such pacifism, I find your continual posts quite compelling. I'm of age for the draft. I've often questioned myself in regards to what I would do if a draft were reinstated. Would I go and fight or conscientiously object? Yet currently, I neither agree nor disagree with the notion of pacifism. However, I have prayerfully and thoughtfully chosen to vote and become involved in such legislative matters that I deem important because of a few reasons. Regardless of whether or not anyone feels that I am right or wrong, I believe that I have been careful (and prayerful) about not "trusting in man." In fact, I believe that it is wrong to play the "trust in man" card to someone who simply holds a differing viewpoint on such an issue, particularly when our thoughts are not meant to invoke such a feeling. I believe that a careful inspection of my posts on such matters would reveal that I am especially careful about not recommending others to "trust in man," but rather to come to their own conclusion based upon fact.

In these sort of threads, please understand that I am not justifying the actions of the Bush Administration. I simply want to counter some of the often unchecked accusations that are presented with some critical reasoning.

I understand and completely agree with the desires of the Moderators and Administrator in preventing loose political discussion. I feel that the moderators want to prevent an unhelpful political conversation from beginning on a website that is not meant for political discussions. I believe that they want to keep the discussions confined to the theme of this website -- a return to true Biblical revival. It is certainly a difficult task, because our "News and Current Events" topics often invoke political news. I think that it is safe to present information without prejudice. However, even in a thread as "nonpolitical" as this, a comment has already been presented in the form of "blanket fact" about the totality of "evil" politicians, Cheney's "financial interests" in Iraq, Cheney's knowledge that the war is not "worth human lives", a preemptive war "without any just cause," etc... These are mere speculations. Do you see how such a thread can turn decidedly political to those who do not agree with statements presented? Like Mike said, the introduction of these sort of discussions often lead to "agitation." While you may not see the initial post as "political" or that others (like me) turned it "political," it is fairly certain that such conversations will be seen as political by others.

What was the purpose of this thread? Was it meant to seriously contend that Vice President Cheney was acting as a "prophet?" Was it meant to show Vice President Cheney's shift in a foreign policy position? Or was it meant to show that his beliefs about Iraq in 1994 were quite different than his beliefs today? Or was it meant to publicly paint the war and/or Cheney in a negative light? I don't pretend to know the motives for such a thread, but do you see how easily such a thread invokes comments?

I don't mean to sound quarrelsome or like a member of the "thought police." It just seems that the forums are often inundated with political ideas that are often not seen as expressive "political" thought. When only one side is presented, I sometimes reluctantly feel obliged to comment and present another perspective (or set of perspectives). In this case, it was merely a thread about a "prophet." Like you, I am going to do my best to refrain from any such defensive political posting in the future. It will certainly be difficult, because I often feel impressed to present alternatives in any subject (doctrinal OR natural) when only one side is presented.

Again, forgive me if my previous post was not clearly articulated earlier. I understand that this post was not meant to co

nvey a political meaning. It just seems they turn out that way. I pray that you feel no ill will toward me in this. Know that I feel far more "involved" in such matters than you can possibly imagine -- and I don't like it!

In regards to your initial post:

- No, I don't believe that Cheney was a prophet (either in 1994 nor 2003), nor do I believe that his opinion was a prophecy.

:-)

Re:, on: 2007/8/20 10:22

Quote:

-----3,000+ US Soldiers are dead now for a war that was fought on a preemptive basis without any just cause whatsoever.

There are some pretty smart folks who know a lot more than any of us on this forum that would consider this statement to be hogwash.

But this forum is really not about politics, or whether the current war is justified. I am finding (and moderators here agree) that statements like that, and political debates do nothing but stir up problems on this board.

So if you want to discuss it... please find another forum. This forum is not about that.

Krispy

Re:, on: 2007/8/20 10:26

Quote:

-----I sure am glad you know my intentions since they have already been posted, but you believe what you want, and as far as politics go if you have read any of my previous post, you know your accusations are false. You folks are the only ones mentioning politics and where you put your trust is your business, as I said before, as for me and my house we will trust in the Lord, for He is our Hope.

yawn ... Oh, I'm sorry... were you speaking to me?

There's been a lot of ranters on here lately, especially directed toward me. It would be nice if people would actually read what I write instead of waiting for someone to stick their head up so they can have something to shoot at.

You apparently didn't slow down to read my post because you obviously didn't understand what I was saying. If you can't read my posts, or at least ask for clarification before you attack someone, then I don't see any point in trying to make you understand what I am saying.

Quite unfortunate for you.

Krispy

Re: - posted by pastorfrin, on: 2007/8/20 17:30

Brother Chris,

Thank you for your kind response. Let me assure you that there is no offense taken toward you in any way. I would like to correct you in your terminology 'Christian pacifism'. Christian non-resistance is what I teach, many confuse the two as the same, but they are two completely different teachings. There's a couple of posts on the 'we need to talk about peace' thread that explains the difference.

Quote:

----- No, I don't believe that Cheney was a prophet (either in 1994 nor 2003), nor do I believe that his opinion was a prophecy.

I am glad to hear that brother, neither do I! ;-)

In His Love
pastorfrin

Re: - posted by pastorfrin, on: 2007/8/20 17:36

Krispy said:

Quote:

-----*yawn* ... Oh, I'm sorry... were you speaking to me?

No, not really. I was speaking to Popeye.

In His Love
pastorfrin

Re: - posted by PaulWest (), on: 2007/8/20 18:07

Quote:

-----Also, I wonder what would have happened if some Biblical prophets refused to get involved in the affairs of their nation, or even other nations?

Precisely.

Quote:

-----Daniel, Jeremiah? Amos? Isaiah...

Or Wilberforce or Orr (no pun!) or EM Bounds, or Stonewall Jackson or Nehemiah or the KJV translators. God has always had certain strategic people in governmental systems, before and after the cross, and serving in the chaplaincy of the armies of man to fulfill His plan and purpose. That sort of thing is fine to discuss in these forums! Political posts focusing on the topic of God are relevant; political posts, however, that are conspicuously void of the mention and purpose of God only seem to foster division, as we've already seen in this thread. I think Mike B. made a good call.

Brother Paul

Re: - posted by pastorfrin, on: 2007/8/20 18:45

Brother Paul said:Before his edit.

Quote: "Political posts dealing with the topic of God are fine, but political posts such as this that are void of the mention and purpose of God and instead centralized on the whims of man are not."

Brother Paul, you either have tunnel vision or you are purposely trying to mislead. Read, this is just some of what has been said. Your accusations are unfounded.

We have so many that are looking to man for the answer and all they will find there is a curse. We are blessed only if we

put our complete trust and hope in the Lord Jesus Christ.

Jeremiah 17:5-8

Thus saith the Lord; Cursed be the man that trusteth in man, and maketh flesh his arm, and whose heart departeth from the Lord. For he shall be like the heath in the desert, and shall not see when good cometh; but shall inhabit the parched places in the wilderness, in a salt land and not inhabited. Blessed is the man that trusteth in the Lord, and whose hope is in the Lord. For he shall be as a tree planted by the waters, and that spreadeth out her roots by the river, and shall not see when heat cometh, but her leaf shall be green; and shall not be careful in the year of drought, neither shall cease from yielding fruit.

Finally someone who is willing to not discuss politics but the unjust methods used by the governments of this world. Man is fallible and we cannot put our trust in them. Satan offered our Lord Jesus Christ all the kingdoms of this world if he would bow down and worship him, why do so many not see that Satan has not changed and men are ACCEPTING HIS OFFER EVERY DAY.

Our trust must be in the Lord for He is our Hope.

Those who trust in man shall be deceived:

Matthew 24:24

For there shall arise false Christs, and false prophets, and shall shew great signs and wonders; insomuch that, if it were possible, they shall deceive the very elect.

Mark 13:22

For false Christs and false prophets shall rise, and shall shew signs and wonders, to seduce, if it were possible, even the elect.

Who is it that fights against the Lord?

Rev. 16:13-15

And I saw three unclean spirits like frogs come out of the mouth of the dragon, and out of the mouth of the beast, and out of the mouth of the false prophet. For they are the spirits of devils, working miracles, which go forth unto the kings of the earth and of the whole world, to gather them to the battle of that great day of God Almighty. Behold, I come as a thief. Blessed is he that watcheth, and keepeth his garments, lest he walk naked, and they see his shame.

Rev. 19:19

And I saw the beast, and the kings of the earth, and their armies, gathered together to make war against him that sat on the horse, and against his army.

We must make sure we are not among them, and our only hope is in the Lord, for

“Blessed is the man that trusteth in the Lord, and whose hope is in the Lord.”

My Bible tells me that Jesus Christ is our example to follow and that has been clearly stated in this thread.

Why do you wish to make it something it is not?

Jesus Christ is the only answer for this world, not man.

In His Love
pastorfrin

Re: - posted by PaulWest (), on: 2007/8/20 18:59

Quote:
-----Brother Paul, you either have tunnel vision or you are purposely trying to mislead. Read, this is just some of what has been said. Your accusations are unfounded.

Brother, you've lashed out on everybody in this thread who doesn't share your convictions on the reasoning behind posting this so-called "prophecy" by Dick Cheney. You first contest the moderator, then jump on Chris, then Krispy, and now me. You are a wonderful brother when nobody is in disagreement with you, but I have noticed a trend in how you speak with those who begin to challenge you.

I've also noticed that you and I always seem to clash on this forum, and I hate this. I know we can't possibly agree all the time, but I just wish you weren't so hasty with your accusations, telling brothers that they are "wrong" or "false" or "putting trust in man" or have "tunnel vision" simply because they don't share your views. Why play the man when you can play the ball?

(edit) The reason for my edit was to brush up the language, because I felt it might be misrepresented, dear brother, because I indeed saw it to be accusatory. I would also like to point out that the meat of this thread has nothing to do with God or the things of God or the building of His Kingdom. This was the reason our moderator rightfully alerted you. The claim of putting no trust in man and not being politically motivated came only afterwards, when you felt compelled to defend yourself and the purpose of this thread.

Brother Paul

Re: - posted by pastorfrin, on: 2007/8/20 19:34

Brother Paul,

What do you call this:

Quote: Political posts dealing with the topic of God are fine, but political posts such as this that are void of the mention and purpose of God and instead centralized on the whims of man are not.

Did you say this or not? "Void of the mention and purpose of God"

I did not put these words in your mouth Brother? From the very beginning I have stated that man is fallible and that we must put our trust only in the Lord. This is not an attack

On anyone, Chris and I have talked and I answered Brother Mike with the respect that is due him. You Brother have thrown the political into this and I have no interest in such.

If I have offended you please accept my apologies it was not intended, but please let each one speak for themselves I believe they are all well qualified to do so. This all has nothing to do with you agreeing or not, it has everything to do with what is trying to be interjected. This is not about politics and does a disservice to everyone to try to take it there. Please this is the only message this post is trying to proclaim:

Jeremiah 17:5-8

Thus saith the Lord; Cursed be the man that trusteth in man, and maketh flesh his arm, and whose heart departeth from the Lord. For he shall be like the heath in the desert, and shall not see when good cometh; but shall inhabit the parched places in the wilderness, in a salt land and not inhabited. Blessed is the man that trusteth in the Lord, and whose hope in the Lord is. For he shall be as a tree planted by the waters, and that spreadeth out her roots by the river, and shall not see when heat cometh, but her leaf shall be green; and shall not be careful in the year of drought, neither shall cease from yielding fruit.

In His Love
pastorfrin

Re: - posted by PaulWest (), on: 2007/8/20 19:52

Quote:

-----Did you say this or not? “Void of the mention and purpose of God”

Yes, I did. Is it not true? What does anything in that snippet interview have to do with the purposes of the divine other than show everyone that the war was wrong from the get-go? You speak of me wanting to interject politics, but I see this rather as a vehicle to bolster a specific personal agenda. Why else would you post it under the term "prophecy"?

Quote:

-----Please this is the only message this post is trying to proclaim:

I understand, brother. But the initial linkage to Jeremiah 17 is very unclear. I see it now, though. The very first post in the thread, however, didn't allude to this; it only surmized Vice President Cheney to be a prophet in a facetious way. Evidently, I'm not the only one to have misconstrued this for another anti-war political wrangling thread.

Brother Paul

Re: - posted by pastorfrin, on: 2007/8/20 20:13

Quote:

Â“Did you say this or not? Â“Void of the mention and purpose of GodÂ”

Â“Yes, I did. I stand by it. What does anything in that snippet interview have to do with the purposes of the divine other than show everyone that the war was wrong from the get-go? You speak of me wanting to interject politics, but I see this rather as a vehicle to bolster a specific personal agenda. Why else would you post it under the term "prophecy"?

To show the fallibility of man and the hopelessness of trusting in the arm of flesh and that is exactly where Jeremiah 17 belongs:

Jeremiah 17:5-8

Thus saith the Lord; Cursed be the man that trusteth in man, and maketh flesh his arm, and whose heart departeth from the Lord. For he shall be like the heath in the desert, and shall not see when good cometh; but shall inhabit the parched places in the wilderness, in a salt land and not inhabited. Blessed is the man that trusteth in the Lord, and whose hope is in the Lord. For he shall be as a tree planted by the waters, and that spreadeth out her roots by the river, and shall not see when heat cometh, but her leaf shall be green; and shall not be careful in the year of drought, neither shall cease from yielding fruit.

Enough said, have a good night Brother Paul,

In His Love
pastorfrin

Re: - posted by PaulWest (), on: 2007/8/20 20:18

Quote:
-----Enough said, have a good night Brother Paul,

Yes, dear brother. Enough said. Good night, and I embrace you.

Re: A little late - posted by crsschk (), on: 2007/8/20 21:33

Brothers, sisters, pastorfin ...

Am a little behind here ... Just for a point of clarification, here is the bit from the disclaimer:

~~~~~  
**\*Politics**

We have found it necessary to curtail political discussion here for a variety of reasons. It is primarily out of step with the manner and thrust of Sermon Index in it's intention and we have found little good to come from an allowance of things based politically into the discussions. It is unfortunate, but the results have shown that policy and pundits of secular government are divisive enough inherently and while that is neither here nor there, it is again that which would have little to do with this sites intentions. Moreover, where we have allowed it in the past it is almost always that of the Western hemisphere and largely American politics that wish to be argued over. This is a ministry borne out of Canada and gone out into all the world, rarely has the politics of other nations been brought forth for discussion and overall very little of it has to do with spiritual attributes. For these reasons we ask you to not bring forth political matters.

It is inevitable that with an allowance of items and issues effecting us all by that which is happening in this present world that attributes of a political nature will creep in, this is understood. However, we will keep a very close eye on these things and may ask you to stop midstream or lock a posting if in our discretion we feel that it is out of place in the forum.

~~~~~  
The word *unfortunate* stands out because there are those who might be able to have some discussions in this arena without a meltdown of charity and what have you. If I might answer the last one first pastorfin;

Quote:
-----If I have broken a rule please accept my apology for it was not intentional.

Recognize that brother and the disclaimer had only recently been updated.

Quote:
-----Brother Mike,

When do words spoken in context from a leader's mouth become agitation? The truth is the truth, there is nothing political about this clip it is simply showing the fallibility of man. You know I am not political and thus have no interest in character bashing.

To be honest I thought Paul's reply earlier expressed the situation sufficiently and didn't think anything else needed to be added.

Transitioning to some generalities ... We have struggled with this for a long time here and that is primarily why an addition was so long delayed in this realm. It has to do with the dynamics of this particular forum and the difficulty of trying to either redraw it back or somehow keep it to it's original inception. There is such a variety of age, experience, culture, spiritual longevity, confusion of misunderstanding, poor expression ... style of writing, I could go on for some time. We have always felt this forum was different as expressed in the disclaimer itself for those same reasons and have never wanted to lay down hard and fast rules for the most part, so it becomes much of a discernment issue as to things that fall somewhere

re in-between ...

We would rather be far more charitable I would imagine with such a wide possibility of subject matter, but it does just boil down to those things that are either out of place to the thrust of this ministry or those things that can be matters of unnecessary divisiveness.

Think this is as good a place as any to lock this thread.