

**General Topics :: Why is heresy (J. Morrell) permitted on this message board?****Why is heresy (J. Morrell) permitted on this message board? , on: 2009/11/6 13:59**

I say this in love and in gentleness, but this is an honest question. Why is Jesse Morrell (truefaithsav) who is known to promote serious, historically recognized heresies (such as Pelagianism), allowed to post on this message board and promote such false doctrines continually?

Do the moderators not know that it is him, and this happens to be something overlooked?

Or is it the practice of this ministry to permit doctrines of devils to influence people through the use of the means on this forum?

These are honest questions, not attacks, but I'm just grieved to see thread after thread promoting Pelagianism and MGT.

Re: Why is heresy (J. Morrell) permitted on this message board? - posted by ginnyrose (), on: 2009/11/6 14:25

Josef,

I get Jesse Morrell's emails and I have never detected that he taught this doctrine of Pelagianism. I checked its definition but I never noticed this in any of his articles, unless I did not read them all and that is where it is. Would you mind posting a link to a piece that he wrote exposing this doctrine?

ginnyrose

Re: Why is heresy (J. Morrell) permitted on this message board? - posted by ThePilgrim, on: 2009/11/6 14:25

Can you explain what these heresies are?

Re: - posted by TrueWitness, on: 2009/11/6 14:28

You can read why Jesse Morrell is a heretic on the blog post below. It is factual.

<http://graceinthetriad.blogspot.com/2009/08/heretical-theology-of-jesse-morrell.html>

Re: Why is heresy (J. Morrell) permitted on this message board? - posted by Leo_Grace, on: 2009/11/6 14:48

To be fair to the moderators, truefaithsav had previously kept a relatively low profile and only recently started posting his false doctrines prolifically. A few of those threads were locked, and the moderator has warned him to desist based on the content of those posts (Pelagianism), but I'm not sure if they are aware of his true identity.

How are you so sure that truefaithsav is Jesse Morrell?

Re: - posted by ThePilgrim, on: 2009/11/6 15:04

Thank you true witness for the link.

Agree, that this teaching is heresy. There are many other "Campus Preachers" that have heretical views. Some of them have been discussed here.

Re: No sin in Adam, no Adam nature in us...!!!???, on: 2009/11/6 15:34

Pelagianism: human beings have the power to save themselves.

A monk named Pelagius, entering Rome in the 4th century, was appalled at the immorality in and out of the Church. He began a crusade of sorts; a personal reformation of morals.

His theology was tweaked by his mission, and he taught that Adam was just a BAD EXAMPLE, and JESUS was a good one. Pelagianism, is a doctrine that is DIRECTIONAL; We choose our compass point; to do and be good, or do and be bad. All infants are neutral; their eternal situation is determined by the direction that they choose.

There is no original sin; we are all born as just natural people, and either inherit sin, or good morals by the choices we make.

This doctrine has been condemned as heresy by every ancient counsel there ever was. Charles Finney promoted it to the hilt, and others in a semi-agitated manner, like Wesley. Therefore, it can be an aberration; not pure heresy, depending upon the level of the poison. Many, many people were saved under men like Wesley and Finney. Some accuse Calvinism also, of at least the odor of it.

But, in my opinion, the fellow in question is definitely out to lunch. I heard him speak some. He believes in the natural man in us all, and in no way that original sin ever was. This is heresy.

"Original sin, and the dreadful consequences of our fall in Adam is in which the doctrine of the New Birth is ENTIRELY founded!"

George Whitfield; JOURNALS...07-09-1739

Re: - posted by tjservant (), on: 2009/11/6 15:45

Quote:
-----Some accuse Calvinism also, of at least the odor of it.

Hello Brother Tom

I believe you meant to say Arminianism.

Re: Why is heresy (J. Morrell) permitted on this message board? - posted by ccchhrrriiiss (), on: 2009/11/6 15:57

I don't know whether or not "truefaithsav" is truly Jesse Morrell. He used to go by a different name here.

I think that it would be wise to remember that the SermonIndex ministry, Greg and the moderators do not necessarily endorse ANY of the posts made by individuals on this website. The thoughts and views that are posted within the forum are just that -- out thoughts and our views. There are plenty of individuals here who do not see "eye to eye" on many different "doctrinal" issues. I haven't agreed with Jesse Morrell in the past on certain things, but I never thought that he embraced open-ended "Pelagianism." Then again, I have never asked him either.

Of course, I haven't read every post in every thread contained within these forums over the last six years. However, over this same time, I have seen plenty of doctrinal disagreement. I have also noticed that many people are very quick to point the finger of "heresy" at someone else without taking the steps necessary to seek clarity.

Someone once accused me of being a "Calvinist" here. Of course, they were using their own personal definition of what a "Calvinist" might be (which, after all, varies from person to person). After they spoke with me privately, they became e

General Topics :: Why is heresy (J. Morrell) permitted on this message board?

xceedingly aware that I was definitely NOT a Calvinist (at least, by the extent of their own definition). Perhaps much of the heretical finger pointing might be squashed if we were able to sit with one another, share our testimonies, and explain the extent of our views a little more clearly.

Of course, I really don't know Jesse Morrell or the extent of his doctrinal views. I don't even know if "truefaithsav" is actually Jesse Morrell either. I also believe that we should stand for truth...and remain opposed to what we see as a true heresy. However, I can't help but wonder if we have made every attempt to verify or clarify the ultimate extent of those views.

Like the notion that "The Pilgrim" expressed, I've seen plenty of open air preachers who teach heresy. More often, however, I have noticed that their message is no longer simple because it teaches a "salvation" that appears contingent upon the hearers embracing the open air preacher's personal doctrinal views. In the "secular" college campus that I attended, there was a visiting "open air preacher" who presented a message that was so complicated and dogmatically specific that it almost made it seem impossible for a "normal" sinner to ever truly be saved. Consequently, no one accepted his "invitation" for prayer even after a week of "trying."

Regardless, I am not particularly "influenced" by ANYONE's doctrinal views as presented here on SermonIndex. I am fully aware that everyone here is just as "human" as I am...and just as confined to live on this side of Eternity (complete with the flaws) as we still "see through a glass, darkly" (I Corinthians 13:12).

*EDIT - My post is not meant to be seen as any endorsement of "Pelagianism," "moral government theology" or any other real (or imaginary) heresy either. I just think that we often exert too much energy into dissecting doctrines into complex ideas and then somehow miss the simplicity of the Gospel of Jesus Christ.

To my brother Leo and other brethren, on: 2009/11/6 17:16

Quote:
-----How are you so sure that truefaithsav is Jesse Morrell?

well, some of the benefits of being raised as an intelligence analyst at a very young age. Its quite simple: truefaithsav posted this:

Quote:
-----Adam brought sin into the world by his own free will, and spiritual death comes by sin. Adam became dead in sin (morally depraved and separated from God) just as God said he would, the very day that he ate from the tree. Though Adam physically died many years later, on account of being removed from the garden that had the tree of life, Adam morally and spiritually died the same day he sinned against God.

from the thread:
(http://www.sermonindex.net/modules/newbb/viewtopic.php?topic_id31216&forum36&14) click here

put that in its whole into google, and you get this:

(http://www.pinpointevangelism.com/libraryoftheologycom/writings/freewill/What_Does_Dead_In_Sin_Mean_Jesse_Morrell.pdf) "What does dead in sin mean?" by Jesse Morrell

Its kind of sad really, because I remember in 2002 when I was young young young in the Lord, so was Jesse, and his zeal was so heartening, even to the point where we exchanged a few emails, because the Lord laid on my heart a "tent meeting" right in the heart of "New Age Central", Topanga, Cal, and I suggested that he prayerfully consider being one of the speakers. This was long before the terrible tragedy of what him and some of these men with darkened hearts are doing, the reproach they bring to the Ministry of Messiah, the reconciliation of sinners to God thru the Last Pascal Lamb, our Wonderful Jesus.

General Topics :: Why is heresy (J. Morrell) permitted on this message board?

nothing came of it, Praise God in protecting from such, as I was young, but "truefaithsav" is either Jesse Morrell or a stalking horse/follower of his. It would just noble of him to have the courage to stand behind his albeit apostate convictions, to at least post his name. In other words, be a man about it Jesse.

I agree with the poster of that systematic refutation of Pelagianism, I pray that Jesse turn from such confusion, error and sheep stalking, his zeal could be of great benefit to the Lord.

in Jesus' Grace, neil

Re: correction, on: 2009/11/6 18:26

by tjservant on 2009/11/6 11:45:59

Quote:

Some accuse Calvinism also, of at least the odor of it.

Hello Brother Tom

I believe you meant to say Arminianism.

My bad TJ. thanks for the clarify.
tom.

Re: - posted by KingJimmy (), on: 2009/11/6 19:24

The only benefit I see in him sticking around is that his heretical views make it easier to demonstrate from the Scriptures what Biblical Christianity is when compared to the dark teachings of these demonic doctrines. Personally, I'd rather see him go if I had a say in the matter. But God bless the moderators in whatever they do.

Re: - posted by alan4jc (), on: 2009/11/6 19:33

I think Joseph, Eli or someone should have an open debate (with the scriptures open) with Jesse. What do you all think?

Re: - posted by KingJimmy (), on: 2009/11/6 19:34

I think there has been enough of that here on these forums. There is nothing to debate.

Re: - posted by Christisking (), on: 2009/11/6 19:58

Quote:

-----The only benefit I see in him sticking around is that his heretical views make it easier to demonstrate from the Scriptures what Biblical Christianity is when compared to the dark teachings of these demonic doctrines. Personally, I'd rather see him go if I had a say in the matter. But God bless the moderators in whatever they do.

I was going to write something and then I read KingJimmy's response and he said it better than I could - so amen brother - I agree.

Patrick
www.jonahproject.org

General Topics :: Why is heresy (J. Morrell) permitted on this message board?

Re: - posted by PaulWest (), on: 2009/11/6 20:05

My dear brethren,

The fact that this person is Jesse Morrell or anyone else for that matter is irrelevant. Any person coming into these forums with a proselytizing agenda for one theological system or another is not allowed.

John Calvin himself would be shut down here if he tried to aggressively promote Calvinism; John Wesley would also be shut down if he tried to promote and strive for Arminianism - and the same for Charles Finney if he tried to promote Pelagianism. There is no respect of persons nor partiality for one doctrinal system or another on SermonIndex. All are free to share - and are encouraged to share the writings of Finney, Wesley, Spurgeon - but once the "sharing" becomes "promoting" (note, there is a fine line of discernment here), the person in question has failed to comply with the SermonIndex guidelines.

As many know, I have already shut down numerous moral government theology threads. You have also seen me conclude several divisive cal/arm threads with equal tenacity. Brothers, the sad thing I find in common is that all these systems are at war with one another, and the devil triumphs when brethren are divided. The Arminians blame the Calvinists for being lawless and lukewarm; the Calvinists are at war with the Arminians and Pelagians, accusing them of heresy, etc. The Wesleyian camp fires another round back with the word "antinomianism" painted on the bullet. It's endless, mindless, profitless and accomplishes absolutely nothing but the furtherance of more war.

I say, leave "trufaithsav" alone and study instead to be quiet and do your own business. I personally do not adhere to the system these guys propagate, and I know many of you reading do not either, but they are free to share their interpretations of scripture just as the reformed and free will brethren are.

Once we feel someone has crossed the "fine line" of what was already mentioned, measures will be taken accordingly.

Brother Paul

Re: - posted by Christisking (), on: 2009/11/6 21:09

Hey Paul,

Quote:

-----It's endless, mindless, profitless and accomplishes absolutely nothing but the furtherance of more war.

I agree with you totally on the issues of Cal/Arm and the division it causes - most times it is a useless argument instead of an edifying discussion and I won't be a part of it. I don't believe either Cal/Arm believers are heretics, except in cases where extremes are pushed resulting in certain forms of sinless perfection and/or free grace as a license to sin.

But in my opinion when talking about Moral Government theology and Open Theism I think in most cases you have crossed the line into heresy or as KingJimmy put it "demonic doctrines". It's kind of like you don't hear Wilkerson railing against Calvinist, but you do hear him rail against the prosperity gospel - one is a difference in theological opinion the other is heresy or a different gospel all together.

What are your thoughts on this - this is just my opinion, please correct me if I am wrong as I have been many times in the past.

God bless,

Patrick
www.jonahproject.org

Re: - posted by rookie (), on: 2009/11/6 22:45

This discussion sounds a lot like what Paul spoke of when he described a spiritual precept found in 1 Corinthians 11:

1Cr 11:18 For first of all, when ye come together in the church, I hear that there be divisions among you; and I partly believe it.

1Cr 11:19 For there must be also heresies among you, that they which are approved may be made manifest among you.

In the above Scripture we find that "there must be also heresies among you" for the expressed purpose of God declaring "that they which are approved may be made manifest among you."

This precept is found throughout Scripture.

Example.....

Num 16:1 ¶ Now Korah, the son of Izhar, the son of Kohath, the son of Levi, and Dathan and Abiram, the sons of Eliab, and On, the son of Peleth, sons of Reuben, took :

Num 16:2 And they rose up before Moses, with certain of the children of Israel, two hundred and fifty princes of the assembly, famous in the congregation, men of renown:

Num 16:3 And they gathered themselves together against Moses and against Aaron, and said unto them, too much upon you, seeing all the congregation holy, every one of them, and the LORD among them: wherefore then lift ye up yourselves above the congregation of the LORD?

Num 16:4 ¶ And when Moses heard, he fell upon his face:

Num 16:5 And he spake unto Korah and unto all his company, saying, Even to morrow the LORD will shew who his, and who holy; and will cause to come near unto him: even whom he hath chosen will he cause to come near unto him.

Here is another example.....

1Ki 18:17 ¶ And it came to pass, when Ahab saw Elijah, that Ahab said unto him, thou he that troubleth Israel?

1Ki 18:18 And he answered, I have not troubled Israel; but thou, and thy father's house, in that ye have forsaken the commandments of the LORD, and thou hast followed Baalim.

1Ki 18:19 Now therefore send, gather to me all Israel unto mount Carmel, and the prophets of Baal four hundred and fifty, and the prophets of the groves four hundred, which eat at Jezebel's table.

1Ki 18:20 ¶ So Ahab sent unto all the children of Israel, and gathered the prophets together unto mount Carmel.

1Ki 18:21 And Elijah came unto all the people, and said, How long halt ye between two opinions? if the LORD God, follow him: but if Baal, follow him. And the people answered him not a word.

In each of these situations God made it clear who was right and who was wrong.

Likewise we find this precept found in the book of Job. We have the 3 friends of Job against the testimony of Elihu. We again are given a clear decision on who speaks from his flesh and who speaks from the Spirit of God.

If one reads the testimony of Elihu found in Chapters 32 through 37 one will find that Elihu teaches us many things about how God works to restore to man His righteousness.

My question to you all...based on Elihu's testimony...how does your doctrine line up or diverge from the truth....

Does your doctrine declare you a heretic or are you approved?

In Christ

Jeff

Re: - posted by theopenlife, on: 2009/11/6 22:49

I have only two cents to add:

- 1.) When you lose the imputation of Christ's righteousness by grace alone through faith alone, you've lost the Gospel.
- 2.) When you lose the penal substitutionary suffering of Christ in the place of all sinners that believe on Him, you've lost the Gospel.

Put wax in your ears if someone is telling you otherwise.

Re: , on: 2009/11/6 23:19

Quote:

-----You can read why Jesse Morrell is a heretic on the blog post below. It is factual.

<http://graceinthetriad.blogspot.com/2009/08/heretical-theology-of-jesse-morrell.html>

How can an article be factual if it doesn't even quote from the man being accused? Why doesn't that entire article have any actual quotes from the man in question? Provide us with the so called heretical teachings by giving us quotes.

I found his response to those false accusations at: <http://www.youfreeweb.com/timsauder> under the title "Jesse answers questions from a Calvinist about his message and ministry"

Interestingly enough, I read this: "Josef Urban is a man who believes that Charles Finney and John Wesley are in hell right now because they were "false teachers". He also believes that Leonard Ravenhill, Albert Barnes, and Paris Reidhead were heretics."

WOW! After reading that, I can't take any posts made by Josef Urban seriously!

Re: - posted by HeartSong, on: 2009/11/6 23:41

Galatians 5:14-16 (KJV)

For all the law is fulfilled in one word, even in this; Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself. But if ye bite and devour one another, take heed that ye be not consumed one of another. This I say then, Walk in the Spirit, and ye shall not fulfil the lust of the flesh.

Re: - posted by hmmhmm (), on: 2009/11/7 3:07

I read some things, and some accusation towards Jesse, some things are very hard and serious, and proof should be given, link or quotation to this accusation, i have read much what Jesse have written heard much of his preaching, some i dont agree with, some i do agree with. What gives me a bit of worrie tho is with what force some come against him?

I understand some think the gospel are at stake, but i think God is in control. we just be faithful in our ministry god called us to. and if God has called us to openly expose false teachings let us be the humblest of men and graceful about it.

What is common not just here is one side misrepresenting what the others are saying or believing. i sense it is so here a bit, and tomake statements like ravenhill and wesley and alike, tozer, t.a sparks or who ever you will from arminian side to be false teachers and in hell. That to me is just as needed of repentance as false doctrine. If such statements are true.

The verdict of who is in hell is gods alone scripture says, and we are commanded not to make such judgements. whatever theology you might hold just se to it we keep away from the pitfalls.

There are five point calvinist in hell right now, there are arminians in hell right now.....

Tim Conway, a calvinist shares much good thoughts here <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UoQ860GEIp0>

Re: The Pea and God., on: 2009/11/7 8:53

I generally agree with you hmmhmm concerning concerning personal dogma, or elite ideas that exclude EVERYONE who does not line up with you as heretics.

Without holiness no man shall see God, and possibly the crux of this argument has to do with that, and the age old battle between works and grace. The book of James makes it abundantly clear that our works are important, and those sitting on the Grace side of the river may point over to the works crowd and accuse those of heresy, but it is not always so.

The holiness movement, which has had a profound effect, and mostly a good one, on the church, sits smack dab in the center of the works crowd. It is ludicrous to think that some of the men mentioned by you are heretics.

hmmhmm pointed out;

"What is common not just here is one side misrepresenting what the others are saying or believing. i sense it is so here a bit, and to make statements like Ravenhill and Wesley and alike, Tozer, T.A sparks or who ever you will from Armenian side to be false teachers and in hell. That to me is just as needed of repentance as false doctrine. If such statements are true."

Absolutely. It is ludicrous and immature, to be kind, at the least, to accuse these men as heretics. I believe that this idea that my faith is bound up in the fortress of my mental construction, and any one who invades it, or challenges it, is my enemy, and a heretic to be destroyed, is foolish.

The Gospel may be just a bit broader than your pea brain, and more inclusive than your accusations and final judgment to execution.

Saying that, there are links where you can here this young man Morrell preaching. He is quite bright, and bold , but definetly he does not believe in original sin. This, to me, does trip over the line of sound doctrine, and into heresy. Jesus did not need to die, if we could redeem our nature through moral action.

It would be similar to Jehovah Witness doctrine, which has a cascading effect on all other holy doctrine; kind of a breach in the wall causing collapse, if you will. He does not preach the Cross, and the resurrection, and being born again, and maybe the most important redemptive doctrine; OUR CONTINUED NEED FOR CLEANSING, AND OURSELVES WALKING IN THE CROSS TO BECOME HOLY.

He is yet young, but for now, he preaches another gospel. To take a smidgen of works , and classify it as the same, and thereby condemn anyone you may believe is preaching works as salvation is very foolish. Doing so, will deprive you of grace, for as you judge, you will be judged.

Re: - posted by KingJimmy (), on: 2009/11/7 10:31

The challenge Jesse makes of Joseph Urban's article is a challenge that does not need to be met. The fact of the matter is that Jesse does not deny that he holds to any of the positions that Joseph Urban claims he does. Jesse only makes this challenge so that you might look him up on his web site, and read his writings, and be further infected with his false teachings. His challenge is merely rhetorical and self-serving. Perhaps Joseph could have been a bit more scholarly in his approach, and gave specific citations where Jesse espoused these doctrines.

But, I don't think such is necessary, as: 1) People would only look Jesse up on the internet because they have interacted with him via the internet or heard him preach on campus or 2) If you have any basic understanding of theology, and the different schools of thought, you will quickly recognize that all the things that Joseph said in his article are true after having interacted with Jesse. As one who has had about a dozen or so e-mail exchanges with Jesse, and as one who has received his newsletter (which I seem to still get in spite of unsubscribing), and as one who has encountered him on this forum a hundred times, it doesn't take too much discernment to realize that the doctrines Jesse espouses clearly lay outside of evangelical orthodoxy, and are in total accordance with Joseph's article. Additionally, I have talked with other brothers who have in times past traveled with Jesse on his campus tours who will tell you the exact same things.

God bless our brothers and sisters who think the strong responses given to Jesse are simply a difference of opinion on theology. I will admit to you that I am deliberately heavy in my response to him, though I still walk gently and humbly in the fear of God when I do respond. I would not act this way if I thought Jesse were a born-again Christian, and a brother of a like and precious faith. But because he espouses doctrines that are clearly out of the realm of orthodoxy, and is a false brother and a false teacher, I feel it necessary to speak in a way that shows that there is a vast difference between the gospel he preaches and the gospel of Jesus Christ. I do this so as to make the gospel all the more clear.

I do not hate Jesse or wish him any ill will, I wish he would actually get saved. The pastoral spirit which resides in me from our Chief Shepherd constrains me to speak in such a manner for the sake of my brothers and sisters who do frequent this forum, and do not quite realize that much of what Jesse says might sound good on the surface, but is altogether rotten underneath. If you think Jesse's gospel is within the realm of evangelical orthodoxy, then either you have not understood and discerned what Jesse is saying, or you yourself do not truly understand the specifics of what the gospel really teaches. And if you do not understand what the gospel really teaches, you may be misled by something that is another gospel altogether.

You might be impressed with his zeal and his boldness in his campus preaching, but all of this is simply smoke and mirrors that he uses in order to deceive you into believing he is a legitimate brother in Christ and minister of the gospel. And I can say that as one who has stood on street corners and gone on college campuses in order to preach the gospel in the open-air. I've been harassed by the police, have been threatened, and had beer bottles thrown at me in order to carry out the preaching of the word. I conducted Bible studies in a homeless shelter for a year, and sometimes wondered if I would leave safely due to fights, shootings, and drug activity that happened there. These things he has experienced as well, but these things are not what makes one legitimate. It is one's faithfulness to the preaching of the true gospel that makes one legitimate. And there is a vast difference between the man-centered moralism Jesse preaches, and the Christ-centered preaching of the gospel.

Re: - posted by IWantAnguish (), on: 2009/11/7 10:53

Beautiful post brother Jim.

The true gospel, and the true Christian life will ALWAYS glorify God and humble men.

A man centered gospel has no power, and will only attract proud, self centered men, who feel like God owes them salvation.

Every man, woman, and child could go to hell and God would still be God. But God is love, and chooses to save those who He shall. This is unmerited grace.

Does your gospel glorify God? Or does your gospel focus on men?

Re: , on: 2009/11/7 17:43

KingJimmy, I wholeheartedly agree with what you're saying in this thread. I too, desire nothing but the best for Jesse, that he would believe the Gospel and trust in the righteousness of Christ to save him. I also echo the heart and comments of several others along those lines.

Yet it is seriously deviations from biblical truth that he promotes, and that is the issue here.

This is not about Calvinism vs. Arminianism at all. This is about historic Christianity (to the which both Calvinism and Arminianism would agree) against the historic heresy of Pelagianism which makes the grace of God of none effect, as brother Tom pointed out on page 1. I may be accused of being a "Calvinist" by Jesse and others, but little do they know, that I preach the Gospel every week along side Arminians! Any who have my Lord Jesus as their Lord are my friends and brothers. And while I may not plant a church and write a statement of faith alongside a staunch Arminian (after all a church has to have some kind of defined doctrine), I would much rather pray alongside or open air preach alongside an Arminian on fire with the Holy Ghost any day over doing such with a dead Calvinist that has a big head and no heart. And for these reasons and many others I think debates between the two are many times unfruitful and grieving to God and unnecessarily divisive.

Two preachers that have moved me the most and edified me the most in my life are David Wilkerson (a Pentecostal Arminian) and John MacArthur (A Baptist Calvinist) . God bless them both and give them many souls for His glory!

Quote:
-----Interestingly enough, I read this: "Josef Urban is a man who believes that Charles Finney and John Wesley are in hell right now because they were "false teachers". He also believes that Leonard Ravenhill, Albert Barnes, and Paris Reidhead were heretics."

WOW! After reading that, I can't take any posts made by Josef Urban seriously!

This is a false accusation.

I would never dare say such horrible things!

And furthermore, I deny this accusation. While I believe that Finney taught some definite heresy in his life as is promoted in his Systematic Theology, I am the judge of no man and dare not say he is in Hell. How do I know whether he found repentance?

Wesley delved into the realm of 'sinless perfection' during his life but was far more Orthodox than Finney, and never seemed to believe he was actually sinless despite the fact that he taught it. While I disagree strongly with Wesleyan theology, I dare not pass judgment upon the man's soul.

And as far as the others, I again deny the accusation. I think every church on earth would do better to have a healthy dose of Leonard Ravenhill's sermons and exhortations on prayer! I have listened to tons of his sermons myself.

I don't know where Jesse got this information from (other than the inventions of his own mind to cast dirt on me to try to discredit my refutation of his false doctrine).

Well, I don't have time to finish what I intended to post. But thank you Paul West for your comments in response to my questions, your time and patience is greatly appreciated.

But till the day I day, I will earnestly contend for what I perceive to be the true faith which was once for all delivered to the saints.

CHRIST IS EVERYTHING.

Re: - posted by PaulWest (), on: 2009/11/7 21:53

Brethren, the fulcrum of the issue at hand here is essentially one man's soteriology versus another. It is my opinion that a man can have a soteriological difference with me and still be considered a brother. I do not hold to the doctrines of pelegianism or semi-pelegianism, arminianism or any other "ism" exclusively. But I hold to the sovereignty of God in salvation to the extent that many of you reading this (including Calvinists) would probably be offended.

But I do not share my convictions here for the sake of unity, and I will not refute the convictions of others for the same reason. I can fellowship with Finney, Wesley, Spurgeon, the Puritans...and, yes, Jesse Morrell too. Because I believe they all genuinely love the Lord, they are all genuinely born-again and, like myself, they all know only in part. Soteriology is different from Christology. I cannot, for example, fellowship with Russellites (Jehovah Witnesses), because here we are dealing with a Christological error, which I deem as fatal.

Moral Government theology is something I strongly disagree with, but I recognize brothers like Keith Green, Ravenhill, Pratney, Finney and acknowledge they too, like the Puritans, were accepted by God and fulfilled a wonderful role in the Body of Christ while on earth. I refuse to reject what God has accepted, just because my "dark glass" understanding is a different shade from theirs.

My job here is to not ban someone from the forum just because of a soteriological difference, because if that were the case, we would have to remove a large quantity of great sermons from preachers who now "fit the mold".

However, if we perceive someone is spamming the forum with Moral Government or Calvinism or Arminianism (or any other "ism") for the purpose of aggressively subverting others to their pet doctrinal affiliation, this is where I will step in. As it stands I don't think trufaithsave has done this yet - and furthermore, I think it is presumptuous and disrespectful to accuse this guy of being someone whom he consistently denies. The way I see it, if you have even a shred of doubt that he might not be who you think he is, is it not therefore prudent to remain inconclusive until his true identity is revealed?

Brother Paul

Re: , on: 2009/11/7 22:35

Amen brother Paul, I could not agree more.....brother Frank

Re: - posted by Eli_Barnabas (), on: 2009/11/8 0:06

Quote:
-----Brethren, the fulcrum of the issue at hand here is essentially one man's soteriology versus another. It is my opinion that a man can have a soteriological difference with me and still be considered a brother. I do not hold to the doctrines of pelegianism or semi-pelegianism, arminianism or any other "ism" exclusively. But I hold to the sovereignty of God in salvation to the extent that many of you reading this (including Calvinists) would probably be offended.

But I do not share my convictions here for the sake of unity, and I will not refute the convictions of others for the same reason. I can fellowship with Finney, Wesley, Spurgeon, the Puritans...and, yes, Jesse Morrell too. Because I believe they all genuinely love the Lord, they are all genuinely born-again and, like myself, they all know only in part. Soteriology is different from Christology. I cannot, for example, fellowship with Russellites (Jehovah Witnesses), because here we are dealing with a Christological error, which I deem as fatal.

Moral Government theology is something I strongly disagree with, but I recognize brothers like Keith Green, Ravenhill, Pratney, Finney and acknowledge they too, like the Puritans, were accepted by God and fulfilled a wonderful role in the Body of Christ while on earth. I refuse to reject what God has accepted, just because my "dark glass" understanding is a different shade from theirs.

My job here is to not ban someone from the forum just because of a soteriological difference, because if that were the case, we would have to remove a large quantity of great sermons from preachers who now "fit the mold".

However, if we perceive someone is spamming the forum with Moral Government or Calvinism or Arminianism (or any other "ism") for the purpose of aggressively subverting others to their pet doctrinal affiliation, this is where I will step in. As it stands I don't think trufaithsave has done this yet - and furthermore, I think it is presumptuous and disrespectful to accuse this guy of being someone whom he consistently denies. The way I see it, if you have even a shred of doubt that he might not be who you think he is, is it not therefore prudent to remain inconclusive until his true identity is revealed?

Brother Paul

My dear brother, with all due respect I cannot agree with you, and I am grieved by this kind of attitude that permeates so many hearts today. I also want to say that I believe it is this very attitude that is Sermonindex's greatest weakness and d

anger. If soteriology, the doctrine of salvation, is not a point of division, then I don't know what is. We maintain fellowship with others who don't agree with us concerning polity, tongues and end times, because we do not deem these as essential to salvation- but do we dare say that soteriology also is not essential, and that we may maintain fellowship with someone who does not believe the truth about salvation? If someone does not hold to the truth about salvation, how then can they be saved? And if they are not saved, how can we have fellowship with them, and pretend it is a non-essential?

The book of Galatians ought to sound a clear warning in our ears. "If any man preach any other gospel unto you than that which we have preached unto you, let him be accursed." (Gal. 1:8-9) Could it be more serious? Shouldn't we pay close attention to that which follows in this inspired letter? The apostle does not point out any problem in the Galatians Christology - it is entirely a matter of their soteriology. "Knowing that a man is not justified by the works of the law, but by the faith of Jesus Christ, even we have believed in Jesus Christ, that we might be justified by the faith of Christ, and not by the works of the law: for by the works of the law shall no flesh be justified." (Gal. 2:16) This matter is the whole matter. And whatever one might believe this means - whatever the interpretation - it must involve the warning of 1:8-9, that whoever does not preach this gospel is to be accursed. Therefore we must discover what Paul was preaching, and we must preach it with the same exclusivity and urgency as he, demolishing anything other that sets itself up against the knowledge of God. "Which is not another gospel, but there be some that trouble you, and would pervert the gospel of Christ." (Gal. 1:7)

My dear brother, and all who are reading this, the attitude that we must have is the attitude of the apostle when it concerns the doctrine of salvation. If we lose this, we lose everything. If we accept Jesse as a brother in Christ we are foolishly entertaining a false and dangerous wolf in sheep's clothing. If we frustrate the grace of God, then Christ died for nothing. If we ignore the fact that Finney taught a false gospel, then we fail to do what the holy Spirit in Paul burned to do: confront Peter to his face. How many beloved sheep are being deceived by Satan's ministers of righteousness while we fail to sound the alarm? How long will it take before we realize the gospel is non-negotiable? Like Josef said, this is not about Calvinism/Arminianism. This is not about Romans 9, 10 and 11... this is about Romans 1, 2 and 3, and if we as Christians drop the ball here, we don't have the right to be called Christians.

"It is fine to be zealous, provided the purpose is good." (Gal. 4:18 NIV)

"Brethren, my heart's desire and prayer to God for Israel is that they may be saved. For I bear them witness that they have a zeal for God, but not according to knowledge. For they being ignorant of God's righteousness, and seeking to establish their own righteousness, have not submitted to the righteousness of God." (Rom. 10:1-3)

For the cross of Christ,
-Eli

Re: - posted by Laviver, on: 2009/11/8 0:34

Wow. Solid post Eli_Barnabas.

Re: - posted by KingJimmy (), on: 2009/11/8 0:38

Well said brother Eli.

Re: - posted by HeartSong, on: 2009/11/8 0:43

It would seem to me that if so many godly men have a problem with what Jesse believes - if they are truly concerned about his salvation and what he is preaching - that they would get down on their faces before the Lord and pray for his salvation rather than murmuring and complaining about whether or not they should fellowship with him.

There really is something wrong with all of this settling into camps, as if being right is more important than the person of Jesus Christ. . . as if the cross of Christ were of none effect.

Re: - posted by KingJimmy (), on: 2009/11/8 1:08

In all due respect for my brothers and sisters, and for the moderators of this forum, but would we allow those who ascribed to the error of the Galtian church to continue on unchallenged, and counted as brethren in our midst? Did Paul not draw a line in the sand when it came to them? Did he count them as blessed brethren, or did he count them as accursed and severed from Christ? There are some finer points of theology that we can no doubt be gracious towards one another in regard to. But there are some views that we must be dogmatically intolerant towards, though of course, still with a spirit of humility and love.

Some such issues are not merely a division over mere opinion, but it is a division over the very cross of Jesus Christ. Moral Government Theology as taught by Jesse shares nothing in common with either Arminian or Reformed schools of thought. It is a dangerous doctrine that obliterates the necessity of the death of Jesus Christ on the cross for our sins, and makes the resurrection, and consequently, the new birth as irrelevant. This is not only an affront to basic evangelical soteriology, but is an attack on Christology as well.

Like I've previously said, I have no personal problem with folks like Jesse having continued access to the forums. But in all due respect brother Paul, these forums have become a dumping ground for truefaithsav and his moral government theology. Many threads have been created in recent weeks, and many profitable threads have been greatly hijacked by heavy and almost militant like posting. And whether or not truefaithsav is actually Jesse or not is besides the point. He frequently takes direct material from Jesse's web site and dumps it on here in thread after thread. Perhaps he is a follower of Jesse, I don't know. But if it walks like a duck, and talks like a duck, it probably is a duck. He's certainly never denied being Jesse in public to my knowledge, and frequently let's people address him as such. But again, that's besides the point, as I previously have said.

Anyway, God bless you guys in whatever you decide. I still love this ministry and the precious saints I have encountered through this ministry. Some have definitely made an everlasting impact on my life for years to come, if not through all eternity.

Re: - posted by Leo_Grace, on: 2009/11/8 1:31

For what it's worth, I heartily agree with the posts of brother Eli_Barnabas and KingJimmy.

We must also bear in mind that we always have about 40 to 60 guests reading the threads in this forum at any time. All of us who post here are actually teaching to an audience of 40 or more, 24/7. It is an enormous responsibility that should not be taken lightly. We should all be mindful of the scriptural soundness of all posts in this forum, particularly those that we post ourselves, so that no one will be misled by what they read here.

Let us hold true to the teachings of Scripture. Although we are instructed to avoid divisions with respect to disputable (non-essential) matters, and to be patient with others of varying degrees of faith, we should never tolerate the teaching of any other gospel other than that of Christ Jesus.

2Co 11:2-4 "I am jealous for you with a godly jealousy. I promised you to one husband, to Christ, so that I might present you as a pure virgin to him. But I am afraid that just as Eve was deceived by the serpent's cunning, your minds may somehow be led astray from your sincere and pure devotion to Christ. For if someone comes to you and preaches a Jesus other than the Jesus we preached, or if you receive a different spirit from the one you received, or a different gospel from the one you accepted, you put up with it easily enough."

2Co 11:13-15 "For such men are false apostles, deceitful workmen, masquerading as apostles of Christ. And no wonder, for Satan himself masquerades as an angel of light. It is not surprising, then, if his servants masquerade as servants of righteousness. Their end will be what their actions deserve."

Eph 5:11 "Have nothing to do with the fruitless deeds of darkness, but rather expose them."

Re: , on: 2009/11/8 1:55

Eli, the error of the Galatians was not that they were repenting of their sins. Their error was that they starting thinking that they must obey the Torah first and then they could be saved, or that they needed to be circumcised in order to be saved.

It was not that the Galatians were repenting of their sins and Paul said, "I better put a stop to this".

A person is not preaching a false Galatian gospel because he says you must forsake your sins.

Likewise in Romans 1, 2, and 3, Paul was not saying that you can be justified by an impenitent faith, and that repentance is works of the law. Paul was saying that Gentiles do not need to be circumcised in order to be justified, just as Abraham was justified by faith before circumcision.

You cannot confuse Judiazers with repentance preachers. Eli this has been your fatal mistake.

The heresy or error is those who say that you can have the forgiveness and mercy of God, while you continue in your sins.

Eli is a wolf in sheep's clothing because he says you do not need to repent of your sins to be saved, since repenting of your sins would be justification by works of the law. He says you must only repent of unbelief. This false doctrine comforts men in their impenitence and therefore helps them to hell. God only gives mercy to those who forsake their sins. Before the remission of sins must come repentance from sin. God is storing up wrath for the impenitent.

A person must turn from sin in order to get saved, and a person must stay away from sin in order to stay saved. A person is not "OSAS" because at one point in their life they merely believed in Jesus.

We must divide and separate from anyone who does not teach biblical repentance as the prophets, apostles, and Jesus Christ himself did.

Re: - posted by Leo_Grace, on: 2009/11/8 2:35

truefaithsav said:

Quote:

----- You cannot confuse Judiazers with repentance preachers. Eli this has been your fatal mistake.

The heresy or error is those who say that you can have the forgiveness and mercy of God, while you continue in your sins.

Eli is a wolf in sheep's clothing because he says you do not need to repent of your sins to be saved, since repenting of your sins would be justification by works of the law. He says you must only repent of unbelief.

I challenge anyone to search this thread or even other threads to confirm if what truefaithsav said here is true. You will find nothing because it is not true, and at best an intentionally deceptive half-truth. He conveniently ignores the part where others have said that genuine faith (repenting of unbelief) and love for Christ always leads one to forsake sin. He lies boldly, knowing that very few would bother to check his false claims.

Because of the nature of this forum, many readers, particularly guests, will assume that he speaks the truth. It is unwise to allow someone into this forum who thinks nothing of posting lies and half-truths, and of putting words in the mouths of others to serve his own purpose.

The Book of Psalms has an apt description for someone like this:

Ps 52:2-3 Your tongue plots destruction; it is like a sharpened razor, you who practice deceit. You love evil rather than good, falsehood rather than speaking the truth.

Note: This is my last post in this thread. I pray that the Moderators will seriously consider the honest concerns expressed here.

Re: , on: 2009/11/8 2:40

Eli has publicly said in the past that repenting of sins in order to be forgiven is what Paul meant by justification by works of the law. He interprets the writings of Paul to be anti-repentance from sin. He says you must repent of dead works, to be saved, you must repent of unbelief to be saved, but you do not need to repent of your sins to be saved, because that is justification by works of the law.

It is one thing to say that a saved person will repent of their sins, and it is another thing to say that you must repent of your sins to be saved.

The Bible says you must repent of your sins in order to get saved. But Eli has called this the heresy of justification by works of the law.

It must be understood that a person is NOT a heretic because he tells sinners to forsake their sins. A person is a heretic if they tell sinners that they can stay in their sins and still be saved.

To accuse a preacher of repentance of being a heretic, because he tells sinners to turn from their sins, just shows how apostate many in the Church have become. This is doctrines of demons to say that you do not need to forsake your sins in order to be forgiven of your sins.

A faith that does not repent is a dead faith that cannot save. Saving faith is not an impenitent faith. Saving faith is a repentant faith. Justification by faith in the Bible is not synonymous with easy-believism, nor is it contrary to repenting of your sins. We are justified, not by obeying the Torah or being circumcised, but by having true faith, a faith that works by love, a faith that purifies the heart, a faith that overcomes the world, a faith that keeps the commandments, a faith that turns from sin. Hence the name "truefaithsav", it is true faith that saves.

Any faith that does not include a total abandonment of sin is a false faith that cannot save.

Quote:
-----Because of the nature of this forum, many readers, particularly guests, will assume that he speaks the truth. It is unwise to allow so meone into this forum who thinks nothing of posting lies and half-truths, and of putting words in the mouths of others to serve his own purpose.

The Book of Psalms has an apt description for someone like this:

Ps 52:2-3 Your tongue plots destruction; it is like a sharpened razor, you who practice deceit. You love evil rather than good, falsehood rather than speaking the truth

If I didn't know any better, I would think you were talking about Josef's false accusations against Jesse Morrell in that slanderous article he wrote. It is easy to attack a man when you distort what he believes and even straight out lie, like Josef did about Jesse.

Re: , on: 2009/11/8 2:53

Let's not forget this heretical statement from Eli:

Â“Therefore they are not subject to the law. To the law, the Christian is dead. They CANNOT break the law, and so therefore they CANNOT sin! At all!” Eli on a previous thread

So even if a believer steals, this is not a sin, because they are not obligated to "thou shalt not steal". Even if a believer blasphemes God, it is not a sin, because a believer is not obligated to "thou shalt not take the name of the Lord your God in vain". Even if a believer cheats on his wife, this is not a sin, because a believer is not obligated to "thou shalt not commit adultery." A believer could steal, blaspheme, and commit adultery and still remain morally perfect. It is not a sin for a believer to break any of the moral law, because a believer is not under the law.

Charles Finney said, "There have been many, in modern times, called Perfectionists, who held that they were not under

obligation to obey the law... Where the Bible says sin shall not have dominion over believers, these persons understand by it, that the same acts, which would be sin if done by an unconverted person, are not sin in them. The others, they say, are under the law, and so bound by its rules, but themselves are sanctified, and are in Christ, and if they break the law it is no sin."

Re: - posted by Christisking (), on: 2009/11/8 3:08

I agree with Eli and KingJimmy. Moral Government and Open Theism go far beyond mere doctrinal or theological differences - it touches the very heart of salvation and preaches a different gospel and preaches a different God and different Jesus much like Jehovah Witnesses or Mormons.

I am a STRONG proponent for unity among denominational, doctrinal and theological lines as long as the basics fundamentals of Christianity is agreed upon. One of my common sayings is:

"we have eternity to argue about our doctrinal and theological differences, but right now precious souls are dieing and going to hell - let's put our differences behind us, lift up the blood stained banner of Jesus Christ to a lost and dieing world and bring men out of darkness and into the marvelous light of new life and freedom in the gospel of Jesus Christ!"

I work with many denominations and just in the past couple of weeks have labored along side, Lutheran, AofG, Vineyard, Southern Baptist, Calvary Chapel, 4 Square and Nazarene. But at the same time we must draw the line and not fall into this modern thinking that counts all men brother - to start to accept those who hold to moral government, open theism, Jehovah witnesses, Mormons, Catholics, Muslims, and other false religions is a dangerous and slippery path.

I like what HeartSong said -

Quote:
-----It would seem to me that if so many godly men have a problem with what Jesse believes - if they are truly concerned about his salvation and what he is preaching - that they would get down on their faces before the Lord and pray for his salvation rather than murmuring and complaining about whether or not they should fellowship with him.

I know myself, Josef, KingJimmy, Greg Gordon, and others have strived with Jesse to help him see the false teachings he preaches to no avail. At this point HeartSong is right we should be praying for him and others who hold to these false teaching. I also think it wise not to attack the man but rather focus on exposing the error of teachings. I think it much more wise to speak of this or that teaching as heretical and prove it with scripture instead of point our fingers and say this or that man is a heretic - but that is just my opinion.

The whole Eli is a heretic he said this, Josef is a heretic he said that, Jesse is a heretic he said this and that - VERY VERY DANGEROUS - in my opinion!!! I would be very careful if I were you - again judge and point fingers at the teaching as you want and then let the mans teachings speak for themselves. I find the following quotes to be VERY useful in situations like these.

Discernment is God's call to intercession, never to faultfinding. ~Corrie ten Boom

"When you begin to argue, you are on slippery ground. I would advise you to argue with no one, not even the devil." W.B. Dunkum

"Your spirituality is not seen by your ability to see the faults and flaws of others, but by lifting them to a higher plane of victory, usefulness, and Christian living. By all means be uncompromising, but don't be Pharisaical." W.B. Dunkum

"I would rather play with forked lightning, or take in hand living wires with their fiery current, than speak a reckless word against any servant of Christ, or idly repeat the slanderous darts which thousands of Christians are hurling on others, to the hurt of their own souls and bodies."

-A.B. Simpson

The devil is a better theologian than any of us and is a devil still. ~A.W. Tozer

“It is a sickening thought that, while Christians frequently quarrel, we never hear of the devils doing so. The Church of God is divided, but the kingdom of darkness appears to be one. Whatever intestine strife there may be between evil spirits, we have no hint of it here; they all seem to act in complete unison. Whether hate is a more compacting principle than love, I will not venture to say; but certainly these haters of God and of his truth appear to be knit together as though they were one devil rather than a multitude of evil spirits, yet the lovers of the Lord Jesus Christ are not knit together as one man under his blessed rule.”- Charles Spurgeon

Patrick
www.jonahproject.org

Re: , on: 2009/11/8 4:53

Ah, the many experts are in fine voice :) As an ex-catholic, when I witness to Catholics I talk of Jesus, the Jesus that I "know now," and love. I also do that with JW and mormons. If this was 400 years ago, I wonder what would happen to this man? Any history buff on here know what would happen to men who had a "wrong," notion as to the nature of salvation 400 years ago. What happened to the poor anabaptists? The Moravians? What did God-fearing men do to these people? Was it done in the name of correct doctrine? Did these men not believe they were about the work of God? So my question is this, transport yourself back in time and figure out if you would be the one getting burned or the one doing the burning? What was the spirit that led men to burn other men? Was it a Godly Spirit, was that the work of the Holy Spirit or the work of the spirit of men? I find it so refreshing to read how Paul dealt with the gnostics of his day. He spoke of who Jesus truly was.

It's interesting to me how men can take salvation, dissect it 280 ways, formulize it, dogmatize it, systematize it (are these even words?) Almost all of it is ego. The bottom line for any soul is, "do you know Jesus," does He know you? When we cry out "Lord, Lord," will He recognize us? This of course is not an intellectual reply, got bored with those debates years ago, always, always fruitless. And yes, while the debate rages on, men are dying and going to hell. "Oh yes," they say, "but a man must be saved correctly." A man is either saved or he is not.....Frank

Re: - posted by ChrisJD (), on: 2009/11/8 7:26

Hi everyone,

Frank, I think this is important that you mentioned,

"What was the spirit that led men to burn other men? Was it a Godly Spirit, was that the work of the Holy Spirit or the work of the spirit of men? I find it so refreshing to read how Paul dealt with the gnostics of his day. He spoke of who Jesus truly was."

I think that Paul's manner truly was to bring light from God!

His skill in handling the scriptures, along with his gifting and calling from God (Rom 1:1), **and** his experience of Christ! (2Ti 4:17) enabled him to stand against false teaching and teachers, and to do so with a SHINE and with the light of God.

And so he could not only refute what was in error, but brought out such amazing diamonds from the mine of the scriptures...

of Abraham being justified while in uncircumcision

or that the Gospel was preached in Abraham.

A wise masterbuilder knows how to cut straight and accurate lines. And to expose what is unsound and unworthy to build upon.

And not only him, but all of the Apostles, and others, as scribes instructed unto the Kingdom, bringing forth things out of their treasure, both new and old(Mat 13:52).

One of the things that comes to memory time to time is how the Lord Jesus told his disciples that He would give them a mouth, that none of their adversaries would be able to gainsay or resist.

Wish you all well.

Re: - posted by rookie (), on: 2009/11/8 8:53

Brother Eli wrote:

Quote:
-----My dear brother, with all due respect I cannot agree with you, and I am grieved by this kind of attitude that permeates so many hearts today. I also want to say that I believe it is this very attitude that is Sermonindex's greatest weakness and danger.

Many of these types of statements put forward in this quote and others in this thread remind me of the ways of this world . Today's politicians and spin doctors use these types of words to sway the masses into beliefs that they promote. Words like danger, heresy, heretic, weakness, slippery slope, fear, are all used by the world to co-opt those whose beliefs call into question the current belief systems.

I have been here since 2003 and have been strengthened and not weakened by this ministry. I have been challenged by fellow brothers and sisters to search the Scriptures to see if what is said aligns with Scripture. I have been sharpened rather than been made feeble and weak kneed by this open dialogue that takes place in this forum. I have witnessed the growing strength of Christ in the men who moderate this forum. The types of Sermons that are highlighted like the current one, "The Power of Travailing Prayer" demonstrates the continued work of our Savior bringing messages from men who would otherwise be unknown by many of us.

Fear is stamped out and strength abounds for those who search the Scriptures and truly use the word of God to discern between good and evil. It is the use of Scripture which casts down men's arguments. This ministry provides us believers the opportunity to test our own opinions about Scripture. God has given us this forum as a means to discern if our "opinions" are of Him or of this world.

In Christ
Jeff

Re: Differences that kill., on: 2009/11/8 9:07

"Brethren, the fulcrum of the issue at hand here is essentially one man's soteriology versus another. It is my opinion that a man can have a soteriological difference with me and still be considered a brother. I do not hold to the doctrines of pelegianism or semi-pelegianism, arminianism or any other "ism" exclusively. But I hold to the sovereignty of God in salvation to the extent that many of you reading this (including Calvinists) would probably be offended."...Paul West..moderator

"Soteriology. Theological field studying the mission and work of Christ as Redeemer (Soter)."

Cannot we see that that the ISSUE of "soteriology" was, the seed bed of the Martyrs? What was Luther all about, if it was not this very issue. What were the 95 thesis addressing?

HOW mankind would be saved!

"Original sin, and the dreadful consequences of our fall in Adam is in which the doctrine of the New Birth is ENTIRELY founded!"

George Whitfield; JOURNALS...07-09-1739

If we throw out original sin, we must then throw away the Cross, the New birth, and The divinity of Christ, and the indwelling Holy Spirit.

"It is my opinion that a man can have a soteriological difference with me and still be considered a brother."..Paul West

Well, OK, but some belief systems, masquerading as Christian, are a bit more than differences between works and grace. As has been noted, Paul risked his life daily to clarify them; for some "DENY THE VERY CROSS OF CHRIST!" This is a prime example of that, as much as any of the cults; Jehovah's Witness's for example.

It's only soteriological.. isn't it?

" I hold to the sovereignty of God in salvation to the extent that many of you reading this (including Calvinists) would probably be offended."...Paul West..moderator

Sure, God is Sovereign, but never at the expense of denying his Son, and the awful sacrifice of His life because of our inherit sinful nature that bound us to eternal banishment from a holy God.

I ask you, WHY is the ETERNAL BLOOD in Heaven?

Hebrews 9:12

"He did not enter by means of the blood of goats and calves; but he entered the Most Holy Place once for all by his own blood, having obtained eternal redemption."

If we act to obtain righteousness, who needs redeeming at all?

I personally do not mind any heresies posted here, other than the fact that some may be influenced to rest their faith upon a lie and be DAMNED!

I can refute it, because I happen to be grounded and rooted in my faith, and the Word, but to pooh-pooh it as just another "difference" is in my book dangerous, and either Naive, or Liberal.

The battle we fight is not about the Gospel per Se', but for the PURITY of the Gospel, and that is what this is about. This is a Pagan theology, that has always been an enemy to God. Yes, I can address it, with civility, but not with compromise, and I can hope that proponents of this lie Repent and be saved, but, different than you, Paul, I cannot or will not embrace it as just another difference from a brother.

The Apostles called it a doctrine of satan, because it deny's Jesus and His Cross as the one and only way to Heaven . This should be pointed out, in our civility to the lost.

PS..Eli-Barnabas...WOW!

King jimmy, J. Urban...Amen!

Re: - posted by PaulWest (), on: 2009/11/8 9:56

Quote:
-----My dear brother, with all due respect I cannot agree with you, and I am grieved by this kind of attitude that permeates so many hearts today. I also want to say that I believe it is this very attitude that is Sermonindex's greatest weakness and danger

Which is fine, if this is your conviction. Please keep in mind that this forum is not a baptist church, a presbyterian church, a lutheran church - we do not hold to a Westminster Confession, or a Nicene Creed. All are welcome here as long as they do not break forum guidelines. We have even had Roman Catholics here in past; they are not banned when they begin promoting Rome's doctrine. They are banned when they break forum guidelines (i.e. spamming the doctrine).

There are plenty of people that don't like your theology either, Eli. I have been asked to deal with you, but I explain that you haven't broken any rules here, and you are free to share your convictions with impunity.

You may all stand in opposition to my decision in not banning "trufaithsav" or whoever he is. He doesn't deserve to be banned - simply because he hasn't broken any forum guidelines. There is not guideline stating he cannot "discuss" Moral Government; just like there is not a ban on Finney sermons here either.

If you cannot tolerate this liberality, we would suggest you find a forum that solely promotes and tolerates to be what you deem as the non-negotiable gospel. There will be no animosity, and my conscience will remain clear.

Thank you for this discussion. My intent is not to argue soteriology; we will know at the judgment who was right and who was wrong when we see if Charles Finney is there with any of his converts :)

As far as I am concerned, this discussion is over for me. Please consult Greg Gordon if you have any further grievances.

With love and respect,

Paul

Re: - posted by KingJimmy (), on: 2009/11/8 9:57

By the way, Jesse is preaching his open theism in the Bible prophecy thread. I surely don't hope we think open theism is a matter that any saved person can hold to and still be counted as a brother.

Re: - posted by IWantAnguish (), on: 2009/11/8 11:41

"It is my opinion that a man can have a soteriological difference with me and still be considered a brother."..Paul West

Why don't we allow Muslims and Hindus and Jews to propagate their beliefs on this forum then?

If it's just a matter of salvation, then there's no big deal.

I mean, we all worship the same God don't we? If we call it Atman, Allah, or whatever.

:o

The moderators have a very immense responsibility being in charge of this forum.

I fear that they may be held accountable to an extent as to what beliefs are allowed to be propagated on these forums in

the guise of truth.

As men of God, we are called to protect the Bride of Christ, at all costs.

As Paul Washer stated, if his wife was attacked by 10 men in a parking lot late at Wal-Mart; and you walked by as a man, with your head down, in the name of self-preservation... Paul Washer would not only go after those 10 men, he would come looking for you.

We must present the Church of Christ as a beautiful virgin, for the glory of God.

Re: , on: 2009/11/8 11:55

Quote:
-----"It is my opinion that a man can have a soterio logical difference with me and still be considered a brother."..Paul West. **Why don't we allow Muslims and Hindus and Jews to propagate their beliefs on this forum then?

That was a low blow.

There is a vast difference in having a disagreement when it comes to the various teachings within the Church then to discuss the different beliefs in other religions.

I disagree with everyone who believes in these days being the "last days", but I am still considered a brother and I would those who disagreed with me. But to pull in the Muslims and Hindus to show an example of what Paul West said is very low.

And NO! we don't worship the same God. If you can get a Muslim to agree with you that God's name is Jesus, then we will have something in common.

Quote:
-----The moderators have a very immense responsibility being in charge of this forum.

And they no doubt pray for this forum and the work that goes on here. They know when to shut a thread down. But if the conversations are logical, uplifting and relatively sane, they will allow them to continue, but when it gets silly and sexual, or anything that starts to degenerate into godlessness, it's shut off. This site has been here for a few years now, and they know what they are doing.

Besides, how do you know if those who happen to stumble in here with that belief and discover through our discussions that they are wrong and by our rightly dividing the word causes some to see, isn't that worth it?

I think it's that mindset that the Admins keep these threads going like that, else I would have been thrown out as a heretic for stating that the last days are long past. I should have been banned from this site since day one.

Re: , on: 2009/11/8 12:06

Quote:
-----As Paul Washer stated, if his wife was attacked by 10 men in a parking lot late at Wal-Mart; and you walked by as a man, with your head down, in the name of self-preservation... Paul Washer would not only go after those 10 men, he would come looking for you.

And when he caught up with those men, what would he do to them? Beat them up?? Seek vengeance? or would he let them go and tend to his wife's needs and let God be the avenger? And why would he come looking for me? What kind of men are we that we should act like the world in seeking vengeance?

General Topics :: Why is heresy (J. Morrell) permitted on this message board?

Re: forum guidelines - posted by Lysa (), on: 2009/11/8 12:10

Quote:
-----If you cannot tolerate this liberality, we would suggest you find a forum that solely promotes and tolerates to be what you deem as the non-negotiable gospel. There will be no animosity, and my conscience will remain clear.

Thank you for this discussion. My intent is not to argue soteriology; we will know at the judgment who was right and who was wrong when we see if Charles Finney is there with any of his converts :)

Brother, I know I'm just a woman, but I stand with you and behind you on this. I commend you on your responses thus far.

Sam Yoon "IWantAnguish", on: 2009/11/8 12:16

Quote:
-----Why don't we allow Muslims and Hindus and Jews to propagate their beliefs on this forum then?

I'm a Jew....you got a problem with that?

I'm a Jew, and you, who reads a Bible with 64 of the 66 Books written by Jews, groups us in with Muslims and Hindus?

and something rings wrong about this statement of yours,

Quote:
-----As men of God, we are called to protect the Bride of Christ, at all costs.

chapter and verse, please.

Much much wisdom in The Lord has been forwarded on this thread by dear brothers, Leo, BrotherTom, Pat, Frank, Eli, Joseph, and YES...brother Paul West who has the unenviable task of being even-handed in a cyber universe of sometimes overwrought religious crazies.

Your comment on us Jews breaks my heart, because we have over 1700 years of murderous rapacious treatment at the hands of those who have said and done, "Kill a Jew for Jesus!".....it's been that way since Satan crept into Constantine's heart and he proclaimed "the Holy Roman Church".....and NOW we have Jesse Morrell back, or some camp follower of his, this trusavfaith. Jesse thru his "ministry" has done more to drive the lost AWAY from Christ with that sad sick "look at me" circus act, since Jed Smock picked up a Bible and a megaphone.

I watched Jesse loudly proclaim that he "doesn't sin", what a hellish lie, but still brother Paul has chosen the wise and loving course. Satan always oversteps himself, and is undone, so it will be with Jesse and those of his ilk.

But Sam, don't forget, you're an ingrafted vine, I say that with love, as I wish all the people of the tribe of my flesh, come to a saving knowledge of Messiah, and Him Crucified.

neil

Re: Sam Yoon "IWantAnguish", on: 2009/11/8 12:27

Quote:
-----But Sam, don't forget, you're an in grafted Vine,

Ah and so are the Jews who once fell in unbelief, and by turning again, God is able to graft them back in as well. Romans 11:23.

While we are on the subject. All those who are in Christ Jesus, are Jews. Romans 2:28,29

So we are all Jews.

YES! YES! YES!!!!, on: 2009/11/8 12:41

Quote:
-----While we are on the subject. All those who are in Christ Jesus, are Jews. Romans 2:28,29

So we are all Jews.

YES!! you are, this was where the early ekklesia was going.....the enemy couldnt stop it, not by using pharasaisical orthodoxy, nor greek philosophy, nor roman military might, or pagan idol worship, so the destroyer says, "i cant beat them, why I dont JOIN them and BOOM! Constantine proclaims "christianity" as the OFFICIAL APPROVED RELIGION of rome, the "holy roman church", and out of that satanic configuration, how many were murdered and killed all in the Name of Jesus?

How they all profaned and perverted the Name above all Names, and still that cesspool the vatican stands to this day, hidden beneath all the stolen riches of the world. I have to stop.

Yes, Baruch HaShem, praised be Jesus Messiah, you are a Child of Abraham, just like me.

(ps, gefilte fish tastes nasty, Big Mac's are better.)

Re: YES! YES! YES!!!!, on: 2009/11/8 12:46

The Jews were cut off but can be grafted in if they decide to believe.

The Gentiles were grafted in but they can be cut off, or lose their salvation, if they do not continue in faith.

Re: Sam Yoon "IWantAnguish" - posted by IWantAnguish (), on: 2009/11/8 12:48

By Jews I did not mean those the remnant of believers within Judaism that recognizes Christ as the messiah.

Rather, as when the writer of the gospel of John refers to the "Jews," he refers to the religious, proud legalists of the day, who denied Christ as their king. I assumed that this would be understood, my apologies.

As for the statement of being called to present the Bride of Christ as a spotless virgin before Him, this is an inference from personal study and devotion to the Word of God.

If you do not share the same convictions, once again my apologies. I assume that all believers share this passion to keep the Church beautiful.

General Topics :: Why is heresy (J. Morrell) permitted on this message board?

oh I see Jesse, or unnamed morrellite, on: 2009/11/8 12:55

Quote:
-----The Jews were cut off but can be grafted in if they decide to believe.

Quote:
-----if they decide

?????????

So God the Holy Ghost has NOTHING to do with this? The Small Still Voice has absolutely no Power in this, No Sway?

Get behind me satan!

my own testimony refutes that devilish lie! Which I will not share with you, not of meanness, but my spirit tells me it would be pouring water on baking cement, an exercise in futility, therefore I say "**get behind me satan, the LORD rebuke you!**"

oh, how i loathe the devil and his ways.

Sam, on: 2009/11/8 13:04

Dear brother Sam,
forgive me, the pain, the utter wrenching pain of the Jew who follows Jesus, (which is a miracle considering all that has happened over these 1,700 years) the pain is indescribable. Your own people call you "apostate", your own reading of history, meaning the murder and persecution of jews AT the hands of those who claim Christ, forced inquisitions, make one wonder who among the brethern is really a brother or sister.

The only reason the Jew who follows Jesus doesnt feel absolutely alone is that they have Jesus IN them, humble Rabbi and Son of Man, on earth, Son of God and Messiah, who did the Wonderful, and now ALL can be children of Abraham by doing one Thing, **getting under the Blood** because the Blood says everything!

Glory, Glory to God in the Highest....my apologies for my tenor. please forgive me.

neil

Re: YES! YES! YES!!!!, on: 2009/11/8 13:05

But the Vatican was merely a tool.

When Jerusalem fell in Seventy A.D. The Romans went in there and leveled the city to the ground leaving the Western wall as a shade for his armies.

God told Ezekiel what would happen to the city and it's people. The people were dividing into three parts. (Don't forget, the Jews declared Ceaser as their king, rejecting Jesus. And they cursed themselves by saying, "let His blood be on us and our children").

Now, please understand that is not what I am doing. I am only declaring what has already been written.

Back to,
Quote:

-----Ezekiel 5:2 Thou shalt burn with fire a third part in the midst of the city, when the days of the siege are fulfilled: and thou shalt take a third part, and smite about it with a knife: and a third part thou shalt scatter in the wind; and I will draw out a sword after them.

The atrocities that took place then were awful for this one race. But God carried them all out to the letter. After the Roma

n siege a third were taken captive and were sold into slavery all over the Roman world and God sent a sword out after them. They were persecuted left right and crooked. There were days of peace though.

I am reading a book called the "Jews during the middle ages" and it describes in a certain country how the Jews were able to be recognized as a people. They had laws protecting them, something like the Charter of Rights. The laws were like, "If a Christian strikes a Jew, he is to pay the Jew for damages or risk having his goods taken away", but there was no law for the reverse.

The sword is still applied today. The Jewish people have a time where they are protected for a time. But that time will come to an end when that land will be taken from them once again and they will go back into captivity and be at the mercy of other nations. I don't want it to happen Nate.

Remembrance Day is upon us, a day to reflect those that died in the Holocaust and all those that paid the price for freedom.

Re: , on: 2009/11/8 13:07

Quote:
-----If you do not share the same convictions, once again my apologies. I assume that all believers share this passion to keep the Church beautiful.

She is and will remain beautiful.

Re: - posted by hmmhmm (), on: 2009/11/8 14:42

Many statements here are very concerning to me, if true faith saves really is Jesse Morell or not i dont know, if they hold the same doctrines i dont know, many of the doctrines here may very well be heretical and so on, but somehow i am very grieved when we "limit" the eternal gospel to a set of doctrines, may it be moral government or reformed theology, yes both can not be right at the same time, but both can be wrong....

I dont agree that any other view then the reformed is the gospel, the gospel is greater then reformed theology only. What worries me even more, if we where to line up the scriptures given in this thread against the accusations , the accusations way outnumber what the Lord jesus has said in the scriptures.

There are so much words from mere men in this thread, and so little from our Lord Jesus

Many say God is like this, and his gospel is like this, there is no other way, myself hold men like Luther and Calvin on not a very high pedistal, actually i am convinced they done more harm to christianity then good, but on the other hand i recognice still gods hand was upon them in their time, god used them, God used Finney as well.

God even used a donkey once to speak his word...

Why could not Finney even tho he held a wrong view of the atonement or mans inheritance from Adam, could he still not be used of God?

I remember a Chinese brother that said he had no bible, he remembered only a few things his mother told him as a child, he confused the holy spirit with a holy dragon, so he preached the holy dragon would come, he later saw his mistake, but still God used him in his time of error.

I was thinking many are so convinced they are right, on both sides, both sides uses the bible as proof for their doctrines, both sides have "support" from "godly men" throughout christian history.

Myself see both sides in the bible, any doctrine on Gods sovereignty that free man from his responsibility in his words thoughts and deeds are heresy, and doctrine on free will that lower or makes Gods sovereignty any lesser then what is plainly in scripture is heresy.

any doctrine that says man has absolute no role what so ever in any thing is not true to the whole of scripture, any doctrine that says man can do any thing without God first working is not true to scripture....

General Topics :: Why is heresy (J. Morrell) permitted on this message board?

Its all about Christ! from first to last Christ Christ Christ.

I wish i could shake you people and scream CHRIST!

He is risen and mighty and lives for all eternity

lastly even if you all who have confronted these heresies are right or wrong, i feel lead to post a word for meditation to all.

Evangelical Christianity has very largely become resolved into a crystallised and set system of doctrines. Those doctrines are the Deity of Christ; His Atoning Death; His Bodily Resurrection; His Ascension and Exaltation; and - with some variations as to time and manner - His Personal Return; The Person of the Holy Spirit; The Inspiration and Absolute Authority of the Bible; etc.

Let us hasten to say, lest anyone should jump to conclusions, read no further, and go away to misrepresent us, that we are not implying or calling for a necessary reform in the essential doctrines of the Church as above. These things are rightly and truly basic and governing, and must be maintained in purity and fulness. But when we have said all that could and should be said for them, we are far from having solved the problem of the Church's spiritual life and power. Orthodoxy and "soundness" never was the sign of spiritual life. Indeed "Fundamentalism" as such can be as cold, hard, cruel, bitter, dead, and ugly as the Inquisition, and it often is so. Its weapons are often completely carnal, and it does not hesitate to resort to physical force. This may be its extreme form, but even where these truths are held without these particular features, there is more often than otherwise a rigid legalism resulting in hardness, suspicion, prejudice, and exclusiveness of spirit. Many divisions have followed - not the faithful stand for the truth - but some enforcing of some aspect of a particular truth - hair-splitting. When we say this, we are far from forgetting the costly battles for truth in the Church's history, and how often the situation has been saved by faithful men in this respect. Our point here is another one. The Doctrines of Christianity have become something in themselves, and because this is so a host of unhappy, unholy, and unnecessary elements have gained a strong place in Christianity. It is so easy to gain your point and lose the real spiritual value. We are fully alive to the Devil's age-long work to destroy the Truth and to sow false doctrine, and we are wholly with faithful witness to the essentials of the Faith. Reform in doctrine is not our point at present, but reform in the place or relationship of doctrine. Be patient and follow through. We have a great and vital object in view. Christianity is not necessarily or inevitably established when the sum of its doctrines or tenets is enunciated and assented to. Here 'the letter may kill' rather than make alive. There is that which is not apart from the truths, but much more than they. Without that other and more, the very truths themselves may lack their right meaning. To that other we shall come presently.

We have said that Evangelical Christianity has become a system of Denominations, Sects, and Sectional Organisations. In fairness and righteousness, we must remember that many of these had an honourable beginning. As to Denominations, in not a few cases it was a conscientious start and for some particular doctrine, doctrines, or form of expression, costing very heavily, that brought these into being. And so with many other institutions, movements, missions, and organisations; some divergence from the truth, or some failure in the responsibility, obligation, and purpose to which Christianity is committed resulted in the rising up of these specific and varied activities. It is no small history of devotion, heroism, sacrifice and service. The story can fill a library. We take nothing from it. That is not our object. What we are saying is that many of these things have now become so largely something in themselves, and are often ends in themselves. It is the thing with which so many are bound up; and here again all the unhappy elements, rivalries, jealousies, competitions, suspicions, etc. have their occasion. The effect of much of this is to make organised Christianity the enemy of Christianity, and a menace to the real work of the Spirit of God.

Re: , on: 2009/11/8 16:30

Quote:
-----I remember a Chinese brother that said he had no bible, he remembered only a few things his mother told him as a child, he confused the holy spirit with a holy dragon, so he preached the holy dragon would come, he later saw his mistake, but still God used him in his time of error.

Right On!!

Quote:
-----I wish i could shake you people and scream CHRIST!

:-P Amen

Re: We must stand for the gospel, tolerant, but uncompromised., on: 2009/11/8 17:36

Of course there must be a DEGREE of moral government, or the gospel is not the Gospel..

Just read the lists that say; Beware, for such SHALL NOT INHERIT THE KINGDOM!

..fornicators, drunkards, the greedy, homosexuality etc. Holiness and immorality are in conflict.

Romans 8

1. There is therefore now no condemnation to them which are in Christ Jesus, who walk not after the flesh, but after the Spirit.
2. For the law of the Spirit of life in Christ Jesus hath made me free from the law of sin and death.
3. For what the law could not do, in that it was weak through the flesh, God sending his own Son in the likeness of sinful flesh, and for sin, condemned sin in the flesh:
4. That the righteousness of the law might be fulfilled in us, who walk not after the flesh, but after the Spirit.
5. For they that are after the flesh do mind the things of the flesh; but they that are after the Spirit the things of the Spirit.
6. For to be carnally minded is death; but to be spiritually minded is life and peace.
7. Because the carnal mind is enmity against God: for it is not subject to the law of God, neither indeed can be.
8. So then they that are in the flesh cannot please God.
9. But ye are not in the flesh, but in the Spirit, if so be that the Spirit of God dwell in you. Now if any man have not the Spirit of Christ, he is none of his.

This is not about that; nor is it allowing an unsaved person to express his or hers religious ideas about the Gospel. It IS NOT "HAIR SPLITTING" about grace and works, Calvinism vs: the world. It is about Doctrines, if believed, that will cause you to fall away from the Faith, if believed;; AND IF we name the name of BROTHER upon those who believe them, and promote them.

There must also be such a thing as Holy doctrine, for the church to be the Church. Part of that essential doctrine is that we all were born with a satanic nature, inherited by our first father, Adam. We were born SELFISH, or NATURAL men. This old nature is identified as "THE FLESH", as translated by King James.

This is a doctrine that says that there is no such thing as an "OLD NATURE", or 'ADAMIC NATURE", but that we are all born natural, and that by obeying a likeness of the LAW; IE "MORAL DIRECTION", we can avoid SIN.

There is no carnal mind, there is no Adam Nature, we are taught. Paul said, that those who live after the old Adamic nature CANNOT please God. Romans 8:7 and 8. Its all about Christ! from first to last Christ Christ Christ.

"I wish i could shake you people and scream CHRIST!hmmhmm

Christ without the Gospel means nothing at all; it's just another name; another Jesus. Every Christian cult hails the same Name, but their faith rests in lies, and that is why sound doctrine is essential, and perhaps the thing that Satan assails most.

Your faith rests in what you believe, and that rests in the truth, IF we are to be saved. This doctrine is not the truth, however you may wish it were. It will destroy you, if followed. Are we to call those who teach it and believe it brothers? If th

ey were, they are now deceived, and need to be delivered.

"A heretic, after the first and second admonition, REJECT, know such a one is subverted, and sins, being condemned of their own conscience. This is that.

Re: , on: 2009/11/8 18:38

If I may be permitted to speak boldly, it seems to me that there is no doctrine here on Sermonindex. This sounds a lot like Eecumenicalism to me. I do not question the motives of any, for I know the heart of no man, but from what I've learned from the Word of God, true love is not tolerance. "Love rejoices in the truth" (1 Cor 13:6).

As Mike Gendron said:

Quote:
-----Let us be mindful of the words of A.W. Tozer, who wrote: "So skilled is error at imitating truth that the two are constantly being mistaken for each other. It is therefore critically important that the Christian take full advantage of every provision God has made to save him from delusion - prayer, faith, constant meditation of the Scriptures, obedience, humility and the illumination of the Holy Spirit" (That Incredible Christian).

We need to ask God for courage and boldness as we rely on the power of His Word. May we all become more like the apostles who were strong, bold, fearless, dogmatic, unaccommodating of error, courageous, intolerant of sin, inflexible concerning the Gospel, controversial, willing to die for the truth and fully devoted to Christ. We are no longer to be children, tossed here and there by waves and carried about by the trickery of men, by craftiness in deceitful scheming (Eph. 4:14). During these times of great deception, the Body of Christ must respond with a theological, biblical worldview that defends the glory and honor of our Lord Jesus Christ. We must protect the purity of His Gospel for the sake of His elect.

Source: <http://pro-gospel.org/x2/content/view/63/49/>

The Apostle Paul was not tolerant of just any wave of doctrine about salvation, he was a man on fire with a passion for sound doctrine and love for Christ and His church, willing to suffer for the sake of God's truth, and stood boldly on it with no minced words no matter who opposed him. There are essentials to stand on even in the face of death, and Justification by Faith through the imputed righteousness of Christ (a matter of soteriology) is one of those essentials.

The Scriptures say that doctrine is important, so I will stick with the book of God. Let every man on earth call me intolerant, hateful, dogmatic, narrow-minded, etc. etc. but I believe the book of God because the love for the truth that Christ has put in my heart, and because of my love for the doctrines which are the underlying teachings in God's Word that my faith rests on along with the salvation of my soul. Pelagianism is heresy and denies the Gospel I know and preach and I will not, dare not, compromise and call it a mere difference of opinion.

Having charity, mercy and grace to different matters of opinion is one thing, but showing tolerance to and permitting doctrines of demons is a fellowship with Belial that I can't take part in.

The Word of God is full of exhortations to abide in sound DOCTRINE. Why, if doctrine is not important? Is the Diet of Christ the only thing in view in the following verses, or does the word DOCTRINE generally summarize all the clear truths which the Apostles taught? And so, if it is talking about the truth which our Lord's Ambassadors laid down as the foundation of the Church, what right do we have to kick around and remove stones from the base of it and throw them out because we don't think they're important? -Keep it up, and pretty soon you won't have anything standing. Oh, may we believe the Word of God, stand on the Word of God, and by God's grace, NEVER COMPROMISE NEVER COMPROMISE NEVER COMPROMISE.

*Acts 2:42 And they continued steadfastly in the apostles' **doctrine** and fellowship, and in breaking of bread, and in prayers.*

*Romans 6:17 But God be thanked, that ye were the servants of sin, but ye have obeyed from the heart that form of **doctrine** which was delivered you.*

*Romans 16:17 Now I beseech you, brethren, mark them which cause divisions and offences contrary to the **doctrine** which ye have learned; and avoid them.*

*Ephesians 4:14 That we henceforth be no more children, tossed to and fro, and carried about with every wind of **doctrine**, by the sleight of men, and cunning craftiness, whereby they lie in wait to deceive;*

*1 Timothy 1:2-3 Unto Timothy, my own son in the faith: Grace, mercy, and peace, from God our Father and Jesus Christ our Lord. 3 As I besought thee to abide still at Ephesus, when I went into Macedonia, that thou mightest charge some that they teach no other **doctrine**.*

*1 Timothy 1:9-11 Knowing this, that the law is not made for a righteous man, but for the lawless and disobedient, for the ungodly and for sinners, for unholy and profane, for murderers of fathers and murderers of mothers, for manslayers, 10 For whoremongers, for them that defile themselves with mankind, for menstealers, for liars, for perjured persons, and if there be any other thing that is contrary to sound **doctrine**; 11 According to the glorious gospel of the blessed God, which was committed to my trust.*

*1 Timothy 4:6 If thou put the brethren in remembrance of these things, thou shalt be a good minister of Jesus Christ, notwithstanding in the words of faith and of good **doctrine**, whereunto thou hast attained.*

*1 Timothy 4:13 Till I come, give attendance to reading, to exhortation, to **doctrine**.*

*1 Timothy 4:16 Take heed unto thyself, and unto the **doctrine**; continue in them: for in doing this thou shalt both save thyself, and them that hear thee.*

*1 Timothy 5:17 Let the elders that rule well be counted worthy of double honour, especially they who labour in the word and **doctrine**.*

*1 Timothy 6:3 If any man teach otherwise, and consent not to wholesome words, even the words of our Lord Jesus Christ, and to the **doctrine** which is according to godliness;*

*2 Timothy 3:10 But thou hast fully known my **doctrine**, manner of life, purpose, faith, longsuffering, charity, patience,*

*2 Timothy 3:16 All scripture is given by inspiration of God, and is profitable for **doctrine**, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in righteousness:*

*2 Timothy 4:2 Preach the word; be instant in season, out of season; reprove, rebuke, exhort with all longsuffering and **doctrine**.*

*2 Timothy 4:3 For the time will come when they will not endure sound **doctrine**; but after their own lusts shall they heap to themselves teachers, having itching ears;*

*Titus 1:9 Holding fast the faithful word as he hath been taught, that he may be able by sound **doctrine** both to exhort and to convince the gainsayers.*

*Titus 2:1 But speak thou the things which become sound **doctrine***

*Titus 2:7 In all things shewing thyself a pattern of good works: in **doctrine** shewing uncorruptness, gravity, sincerity*

As J.C. Ryle said:

Quote:

-----We corrupt the Word of God when we make defective statements of doctrine.

We do so when we add to the Bible the opinions of the Church, or of the Church Fathers, as if they were of equal authority. We do so when we take a way from the Bible, for the sake of pleasing men; or, from a feeling of false liberality, keep back any statement which seems narrow, and harsh, or hard. We do so when we try to soften down anything that is taught about eternal punishment, or the reality of hell. We do so when we bring forward doctrines in their wrong proportions. We all have our favorite doctrines, and our minds are so constituted that it is hard to see one truth very clearly without forgetting that there are other truths equally important. We must not forget the exhortation of Paul, to minister "according to the proportion of faith." We do so when we exhibit an excessive anxiety to fence, and guard, and qualify such doctrines as justification by faith without the deeds of the law, for f

ear of the charge of antinomianism; or when we flinch from strong statements about holiness, for fear of being thought legal. We also do this when we shrink back from the use of Bible language in giving an account of doctrines. We are apt to keep back such expressions as "born again," "election," "a doption," "conversion," "assurance," and to use a roundabout phraseology, as if we were ashamed of plain Bible words. I cannot expand these statements because we are short of time. I am content with mentioning them and leave them to your private thought.

OH, GOD SAVE ME FROM TAKING AWAY FROM YOUR WORD FOR THE SAKE OF PLEASING MEN.

Liberalism says whatever people believe should be accepted. Phariseeism says that anyone who doesn't believe every single detail I believe about everything in Scripture is condemned. But the Word of God rebukes them both. May God save His people from both errors and give us discernment to tell the difference.

My heart is unloaded, and I think that this is the last post of mine on this thread. My questions have been answered. Peace to those who hold fast to the Word of life. Brethren, stand strong in the Word, be strong and let the Word of God abide in you, and may you get your head lopped off before you bow down to surf the waves of every wind of doctrine.

Re: , on: 2009/11/8 20:37

Quote:
-----If I may be permitted to speak boldly, it seems to me that there is no doctrine here on Sermonindex

What is wrong with you people? This is Greg's site, granted to him by God. It's his ministry, if you don't like it, go and build your own website.

Paul West has told us that if anyone has a problem with the operations of this site that they are to go to Greg Gordon. Using this thread to splash your issues are not wise.

I too like Paul am finished with this thread. It's not healthy, it's not uplifting, it's wrong.

Re: - posted by PaulWest (), on: 2009/11/8 20:51

Thread closed.

Please do not create anymore "heretic" threads, and please refrain from naming and accusing other believers publically. If you have issues, contact the offender, myself or Greg Gordon privately.

Thank you.

Re: Rick Warren and one religion - posted by JoanM, on: 2009/11/8 21:01

Could someone on this thread help me. I think in reading the link that began this thread (page 1, "Grace in the triad blogspot") I read a report, probably with links that "Rich Warren had joined the board of directors of an international faith organization seeking the unity of ALL RELIGIONS".

I have tried to re-trace my steps but can not find it on that site. There were other references to his emergent bent, but it is the one to this ONE RELIGION, that I need. As I recall, the head of that movement is in Europe (northern Europe?), and the name is short like Faith United (?).

Thanks for any help on this. My fellowship is still recovering from the purpose driven church and fighting off emergent ideas that sound so good but are built on sand and not rock. I thought the readers of this thread, familiar with Warren my know where the reference is.