

**Articles and Sermons :: The Lord is Quick to Appreciate and Slow to Criticise by Zac Poonen****The Lord is Quick to Appreciate and Slow to Criticise by Zac Poonen - posted by sermonindex (), on: 2010/5/7 12:27**

The Lord is Quick to Appreciate and Slow to Criticise  
by Zac Poonen

In the letter to the messenger at Ephesus in Revelation 2:1, the Lord describes Himself as "The One who holds the seven stars in His right hand and walks among the seven churches." The Lord is always walking in the midst of the churches, examining everything that is said and done by everyone therein, and especially by the messengers whom He holds in His hand. And He measures everything, not by the low standards that carnal Christians have, nor even by the standard of the Ten Commandments, but by the plumb line of Divine righteousness.

He expresses His approval and appreciation first before He points out the failures (Rev. 2:2). The Divine nature is like that. The Lord always looks for that which is good first, and expresses appreciation for that, before pointing out what needs to be set right. Man's nature however is quite different. He does not look for that which is good in others first, but for that which is bad. Man is naturally slow to appreciate and extremely quick to criticise. This is but one mark of the poison of the "Accuser of the Brethren" that is in our system (Rev. 12:10). The more we partake of the Divine nature however, the more we will be like our Lord - quick to appreciate and slow to criticise.

It is good to follow this principle all through life: "I will never point out a fault to someone, in whom I have found nothing so far to appreciate." Following that simple rule can lead us to greater heights of godliness than we have ever imagined. It will make us a far greater blessing in the church and far less of a nuisance to others than we have been thus far. It is only when we appreciate others that we have laid the foundation to constructively criticize them. Otherwise we will only be hurling bricks at them. You cannot write with a chalk on thin air. You need a blackboard if people are to see what you are writing. Even so, expressed appreciation forms the blackboard on which we can write and "speak the truth in love" to others. Then, what we say is also more likely to be accepted by them. Appreciation and rebuke are both signs of love. But we must begin with appreciation first. Notice how Paul follows this principle even when writing to the carnal Corinthian Christians (1 Cor. 1:4-10).

The Lord commends the messenger at Ephesus for his toil and perseverance and for his efforts to keep the church pure from evil men. No doubt he had fought a battle against worldliness to keep it from entering the church. Not only that, he had also striven to keep the church pure in doctrine. He had tested those who claimed to be apostles and had proved their claims to be false. The messenger in the church at Ephesus had also "endured" for the sake of the Lord's Name without giving up (Rev. 2:3). What a wonderful man this messenger was, according to the standards of most believers. And what a wonderful church the Ephesian church appeared to be - one that toiled, persevered, kept away evil men, kept out false doctrine and exposed deceivers - thus emphasising both purity of life and purity of doctrine.

One would have thought that such a church had everything that the Lord wanted to find in a church. But alas, it was not so. It lacked the main thing that the Lord looked for. It had left its first love - love for the Lord and love for one another (Rev. 2:4). What the Lord said to them was essentially this: "In the midst of all your zeal and your activity, you have lost sight of ME. You have lost that fervent devotion that you once had for Me. You have kept yourself from evil and you have steered clear of doctrinal error. But remember how you loved Me fervently when you were first converted and how you did everything out of love for Me then. Now everything has degenerated into a dry routine. You're still going to the meetings, reading your Bible and praying. But it has all become a ritual."

The church here had become like a wife who once served her husband joyfully out of love for him, but who now considers the same tasks a drudgery - because the fire of love has gone out of her marriage. In the olden days, she used to wait eagerly for her husband to come back from the office every evening. But not now. She is still faithful to Him, but she has lost her first love. What does a true husband desire from his wife first of all? Is it her love or her labours? Certainly, it is her love. It is the same with the Lord. He desires the love of our hearts first and foremost. When that is gone, everything that we do becomes dead works. Good works become dead works when love for God is not the motivating force behind them. The believers here had also lost their fervent love for each other. They were no longer able to bear with each other's weaknesses or to overlook each other's sins. They had lost their first love for one another too. The messenger had lost his first love - and gradually the church too had become like its messenger. This was not a small error. It was a great fall - for the Lord says, "Remember, therefore, from where you have fallen." (Rev. 2:5).

**Re: The Lord is Quick to Appreciate and Slow to Criticise by Zac Poonen, on: 2010/5/7 14:06**

This teaching is full of holes and dangerous in the spirit which it reveals. In fact, even the title to this teaching is deceptive because it doesn't truly demonstrate the genuine character and nature of the Lord. Let's look at some of the statements made in this teaching which will reveal whether this piece is truly from God, or from somewhere else.

Quote:

-----He expresses His approval and appreciation first before He points out the failures (Rev. 2:2). The Divine nature is like that.  
-----

Really? Is this always true, or even true most of the time? How often in the Scripture do we have examples of God praising people for things before rebuking them?

Quote:

-----The Lord always looks for that which is good first, and expresses appreciation for that, before pointing out what needs to be set right.  
t.  
-----

Really, is it true that God ALWAYS looks for something good to point out first before sending correction?

This is so obviously false that there is no need to even cite the vast number of Scriptural examples which totally make the quoted statement look foolish. For if this is true, then that would mean that all of the prophets, the apostles, and even my Lord Himself weren't demonstrating the divine nature when they pointed out the errors and corruption in people because they first didn't find something to praise first.

How often did the prophets praise their intended targets? How often did my Lord Jesus praise the scribes, Pharisees, and the Sadducees? Did John praise Diotrophes?

By using such a term as "always", the writer of this article has put God in such a box that there is a serious danger of swaying people's understanding of God's nature. This danger is very reminiscent of the spirit which attempts to parry godly correction because a person may not want to hear it or accept it. The only way to deal with it, other than actually correcting the error, is to dismiss the correction by implying that the correction wasn't sent in 'love', which is further built upon as the article progresses.

Quote:  
-----This is but one mark of the poison of the "Accuser of the Brethren" that is in our system (Rev. 12:10). The more we partake of the Divine nature however, the more we will be like our Lord - quick to appreciate and slow to criticise.  
-----

The simplest way to know whether one is delivering a godly reproof or rebuke versus simple criticism or condemnation, which indeed would demonstrate a corrupt/unclean spirit is that God offers mercy through repentance. When one corrects one's ways, then all is forgiven. When someone is walking in an unclean spirit, there is no direction or command to turn from a sin, if it really is a sin being pointed out. There is only condemnation without hope. The more we grow in the Spirit of God, the more we are able to recognize the difference, and to offer reproof or correction in hypocrisy.

Quote:  
-----It is good to follow this principle all through life: "I will never point out a fault to someone, in whom I have found nothing so far to appreciate." Following that simple rule can lead us to greater heights of godliness than we have ever imagined. It will make us a far greater blessing in the church and far less of a nuisance to others than we have been thus far. It is only when we appreciate others that we have laid the foundation to constructively criticize them. Otherwise we will only be hurling bricks at them. You cannot write with a chalk on thin air. You need a blackboard if people are to see what you are writing. Even so, expressed appreciation forms the blackboard on which we can write and "speak the truth in love" to others. Then, what we say is also more likely to be accepted by them. Appreciation and rebuke are both signs of love. But we must begin with appreciation first.  
-----

Though this may appear to carry the mark of spirituality, at the very least, the foundation of this thought is totally devoid of any balance whatsoever and can't possibly be from the Lord. If it was then it would exclude the possibility of Him ever sending anyone from the outside of a person's or group's peer group to deliver any kind of reproof or rebuke, which is most certainly one of the ways of the Lord. Most especially since it is often the case that everyone in that peer group are all walking in the same error and can't be used to deliver the correction due to ignorance, hypocrisy, and even outright rebellion. It's only when a person or people refuse to listen to the Lord themselves, that He sends a messenger to deliver correction or rebuke anyways.

Quote:  
-----It had left its first love - love for the Lord and love for one another (Rev. 2:4). What the Lord said to them was essentially this: "In the midst of all your zeal and your activity, you have lost sight of ME. You have lost that fervent devotion that you once had for Me. You have kept yourself from evil and you have steered clear of doctrinal error. But remember how you loved Me fervently when you were first converted and how you did everything out of love for Me then. Now everything has degenerated into a dry routine. You're still going to the meetings, reading your Bible and praying. But it has all become a ritual."  
-----

Notice the subtle addition of ..."and love for one another". Our first love is supposed to be for God, period. The NEXT, or SECOND, commandment is to love our neighbor as ourselves. There was no mention of the Lord calling into question their love or devotion to one another. This subtle portion was added to give the previous portions of the article, and the thoughts trying to be established, credibility. This section of the article would have truly been beautiful if the author would have omitted his addition in order to attempt to twist the meaning of the Scripture to his own purposes.

Quote:  
-----The believers here had also lost their fervent love for each other. They were no longer able to bear with each other's weaknesses or to overlook each other's sins. They had lost their first love for one another too. The messenger had lost his first love - and gradually the church too had

become like its messenger. This was not a small error. It was a great fall - for the Lord says, "Remember, therefore, from where you have fallen." (Rev. 2:5).

-----

This is NOT the point which the Lord was making in this passage of the Scripture. The author, and promoters of this article as being representative of Godly wisdom or from God, clearly have an agenda and demonstrate gaping holes in their walks. I sincerely hope that discernment is used when being tempted to accept anything from this article as being from God.

Is Zac Poonen a visitor to this website or is there anyway to contact him to point out the errors of what he has written?

**Re: , on: 2010/5/7 14:57**

Greg Gordon, where did you find this article in it's entirety?

I ask because I've found what you posted, word for word, in a portion of a book which Zac Poonen wrote called "The Final Triumph", a book written as a study on the Book of Revelations. The portion which you posted was taken from different sections of Chapter 2, and it was taken out of the context of the entire chapter. Though what Zac is saying is lacking in balance, the context of what he's speaking of is different than the one which the article posted by you is speaking of.

It can be located

([http://books.google.com/books?idFTQdRwVq2QQC&pgPT12&lpgPT12&dqThe+Lord+is+Quick+to+Appreciate+and+Slow+to+Criticise+by+Zac+Poonen&sourcebl&otspgeeiw1Mjz&sige6nVoNruPFfC-q4RA3IWN3KoVKU&hlen&eip13kS7T4H4GsswPHs6m8Aw&saX&oibook\\_result&ctresult&resnum2&ved0CBUQ6AEwAQ#vonepage&q&ffalse](http://books.google.com/books?idFTQdRwVq2QQC&pgPT12&lpgPT12&dqThe+Lord+is+Quick+to+Appreciate+and+Slow+to+Criticise+by+Zac+Poonen&sourcebl&otspgeeiw1Mjz&sige6nVoNruPFfC-q4RA3IWN3KoVKU&hlen&eip13kS7T4H4GsswPHs6m8Aw&saX&oibook_result&ctresult&resnum2&ved0CBUQ6AEwAQ#vonepage&q&ffalse)) here Greg, has Zac Poonen released this article as it is or did you take bits and pieces of his writing on your own?

I can't find any stand alone article from Zac Poonen like the one which you posted.

**Re: The Lord is Quick to Appreciate and Slow to Criticise by Zac Poonen - posted by Pandora-B, on: 2010/5/7 15:22**

~ In all, The Seven Churches  
Jesus stated He Knew their Works.

Is this,  
an Approval Rating of His Appreciation?

- Or, His account of what their obedience was to;  
in what they had to Overcome?

Is Loving One Another, A Command?

Or, an appreciation find, to do so?

Do the Scriptures say Love is appreciation?  
Is the word appreciation even in the Bible?

Isn't appreciation Earned?  
Can we earn God's appreciation?

So, God appreciates us?  
He's 'grateful' for us?  
We're just what He needed.

Becareful, this may lead to  
'taking delight in false humility.' -

**Re: - posted by passerby, on: 2010/5/7 17:28**

There is a saying, 'God is fast to appreciate but is hard to satisfy'.

How should we read the bible, in a theological manner\_ or 'read it by heart'. Many said that the bible blessed them in different ways as they read it over and over again.

Authors do 'copy' from their previous articles and paste them in their new articles.

What do you think.

**Re: - posted by Pandora-B, on: 2010/5/7 17:54**

~ Did God say it?

What is The Heart,  
but one's Intentions. -

**Re: , on: 2010/5/7 18:25**

Quote:

-----How should we read the bible, in a theological manner\_ or 'read it by heart'.  
-----

It should be read as revealed by God's Spirit, not theologically or even by heart.

Quote:

-----Authors do 'copy' from their previous articles and paste them in their new articles.  
-----

Well then, Greg will have no problem answering my very simple questions. Did Greg cut and paste portions of Zac Poonen's book himself to form an article for his own reasons or was this article, as it is written, genuinely a separate release from Zac?

If Greg did it himself, then he's responsible for this article. Otherwise it's Zac.

Quote:

-----What do you think.  
-----

I'm still testing the spirit which Greg is operating in. His response, or lack of one, will reveal it all.

Re: , on: 2010/5/7 23:07

bump

Re: Article and Book - posted by Lysa (), on: 2010/5/7 23:36

Zac Poonen puts a weekly devotion-like email and this was today's weekly email. They are taken from his sermons and books:

WORD FOR THE WEEK 9 May 2010  
Christian Fellowship Church, Bangalore, India  
<http://www.cfcindia.com>  
-----

The Lord is Quick to Appreciate and Slow to Criticise

Zac Poonen

As of this minute, 11:45 pm Friday night, cfcindia has not posted this on their website although it is in my email inbox! Maybe Greg is out of town or off line; he does not live on this website. Patience is one of the fruit of the Spirit!! (huge grin )

PS:: Oh yes, to instruct Zac on the "gaping holes" in the article, you can contact through [cfc@cfcindia.com](mailto:cfc@cfcindia.com).

And if you go to his website, give a listen to this excellent sermon series: From Babylon to Jerusalem  
[http://www.cfcindia.com/web/mainpages/from\\_babylon\\_to\\_jerusalem.php](http://www.cfcindia.com/web/mainpages/from_babylon_to_jerusalem.php)

God bless you,

Re: , on: 2010/5/8 0:28

Quote:  
-----As of this minute, 11:45 pm Friday night, cfcindia has not posted this on their website although it is in my email inbox!  
-----

Was it from cfcindia or from sermonindex?

Quote:  
-----Maybe Greg is out of town or off line; he does not live on this website.  
-----

He has logged in already, yet no response.

Quote:  
-----PS:: Oh yes, to instruct Zac on the "gaping holes" in the article, you can contact through [cfc@cfcindia.com](mailto:cfc@cfcindia.com).  
-----

I've already contacted him. That's why I've asked the questions which I've asked.

Re: , on: 2010/5/8 1:29

I agree with you on your discernment Hiswill.

Brenda

Re: , on: 2010/5/8 4:41

Re: - posted by Lysa (), on: 2010/5/8 8:43

Quote:

Quote:

-----As of this minute, 11:45 pm Friday night, cfcindia has not posted this on their website although it is in my email inbox!

Was it from cfcindia or from sermonindex?

The article in question was sent out by cfc through email and Greg reposted it here, he does that with every week's email. And he posts Charles Spurgeon's Morning and Evening and with Oswald Chamber's My Utmost for His Highest and maybe some others.

Think whatever you like but Greg will not argue with you. My opinion is (I do not personally know Greg but) I think he believes you are entitled to your opinion and to take it up with cfc.

The way that Greg and the mods run this site **reminds me** of the economic term, "laissez faire," in the context of "non-interference" and "the unseen hand!" They "watch" to make sure we all stay and behave Christian towards one another!! LOL If we aren't, then the "unseen hand" comes out and warns or after many warnings, gets kicked off SI. That's my defense of Greg and sermonindex!

About cfc, when I have emailed cfc, (someone else may have a different experience but) I don't think they don't have a computer guy that answers questions johnny-on-the-spot, my answers have always come from one of their elders and it took a week or two. So that patience thing really comes in handy while waiting!

God bless you, William!!

edited to remove the dark room statement!!

Re: , on: 2010/5/8 12:54

Quote:

-----The article in question was sent out by cfc through email and Greg reposted it here, he does that with every week's email.

You weren't very specific, Lysa. Were you sent the email directly from cfcindia or was it sent to you from sermonindex?

Quote:

-----Think whatever you like but Greg will not argue with you. My opinion is (I do not personally know Greg but) I think he believes you are entitled to your opinion and to take it up with cfc.

Who's arguing? I only asked question and pointed out the corruption in an article which Greg posted. To attempt a defense of 'someone you don't know' through the tactic of implying that this thread is argumentative is a weak attempt at diversion. Please don't do it again.

Furthermore, I'm not speaking opinion. I'm speaking the truth.

Quote:  
-----The way that Greg and the mods run this site **reminds me** of the economic term, "laissez faire," in the context of "non-interference" and "the unseen hand!" They "watch" to make sure we all stay and behave Christian towards one another!! LOL If we aren't, then the "unseen hand" comes out and warns or after many warnings, gets kicked off SI. That's my defense of Greg and sermonindex!  
-----

This has nothing to do with the way that Greg and the mods run this site. This has to do with the spirit which Greg posted in, as well as the dangerously unbalanced article which was snipped from here and there out of a previously written book.

By your defense of Greg, you've actually done more to damage his credibility than he did by posting such of an obviously flawed piece without any warnings about it, a piece with an obvious agenda behind it.

If Greg was truly a mature, right-hearted man of God he would have spoken for himself. A true man of God would never want someone else to defend him over something such as this. Neither would a true man of God allow the appearance of evil to stand without addressing it. After all, all he had to do was simply say that it was an article that was sent to him by cfcindia.com. By not saying anything, he has given the appearance of cowardice and damaged his witness in the Lord by allowing the scent of corruption linger.

But then again, it's really hard to stand speak against the truth without making one's self appear even more foolish.

Greg is a grown man, let him speak for himself as a servant of the Lord should.

**Re: - posted by PaulWest (), on: 2010/5/8 13:57**

Friend, what is your purpose here? I see that you are instigating something very ungodly here, the spirit of which is apparent to all who read your posts. Greg has no reason to answer your charges, because no matter what he says you are clearly set on a fight.

Take this fight out the doors. I ask that you not post in this thread any more nor create any new ones if this sort of confrontational behaviour will be your trademark. Remember that with all your demands on Greg and insinuations of cowardice that you are still a guest here. PM your host in the spirit of humility if you are in fact concerned with the issue. Or contact Zac Poonen's ministry, though I'm pretty sure they'll discern the spirit and avoid you as well.

Thank you for your attention in this matter.

Bro. Paul

**Re: , on: 2010/5/8 16:02**

PaulWest,

You testify something that should be seen more so than the witness of HisWill\_i\_am.

So Will is a guest? I know Him who holds the Book of Life.

He rebukes publicly with Honor towards God.

He IS part of the Body, although He is still immature in ways. The Lord still works through Him, maybe listen, instead of locking your doors because of his own 'righteous anger' and belittling him.

For we will know our brothers and sisters by their Love for God!

:)

**Re: - posted by hmmhmm (), on: 2010/5/8 16:07**

this is the official word for the week sent out on a weekly basis from cfc india. Greg has as of late has much on his hand s and might not have so much time browse through all threads and reply to all questions. If you have any concern about the content of this article you should contact cfc. Greg has not altered it from the mail that was sent out.

**Re: , on: 2010/5/8 16:36**

hmmhmm,

Cool screen name. If more people looked right and left before crossing the road, they wouldn't get ran over.

:)

Looks like to me, Greg chose to use the 'christ-mass-mailed' sword that evidently was blocked by a warriors 'Shield-Bear er'.

Do look both ways before crossing the road ... Why did the chicken cross? ... To get ate by a 'Terrier'.

:)

**Re: , on: 2010/5/8 18:01**

Quote:

-----Friend, what is your purpose here?  
-----

It should be obvious.

1. To deliver the message of the Lord.
2. To speak the truth.
3. To reveal the darkness which is being promoted as light so that those who follow and take part in it will turn away from their sin.
4. To bless you.

Quote:

-----I see that you are instigating something very ungodly here, the spirit of which is apparent to all who read your posts.  
-----

Then you see through a corrupt filter and need to learn to recognize the Spirit of the Lord. Do you people think that you are above rebuke? Just because the Lord has used some of you as His trumpets, even some of those who He's revealed parts of His plans to are amongst the ones who are in need or correction, rebuke, and removal.

You're right in saying that the Spirit which I speak from is apparent. If he and his peers would listen to the Lord themselves, He wouldn't have had to send me.

Quote:

-----Greg has no reason to answer your charges, because no matter what he says you are clearly set on a fight.  
-----

Actually Greg has many reasons to both answer the questions and charges posed to him and also to avoid doing so. Bot

h of which reveal the spirit which he walks in.

Quote:

-----Take this fight out the doors.  
-----

There's no fight here. Simply an emissary delivering a message.

Quote:

-----I ask that you not post in this thread any more nor create any new ones if this sort of confrontational behaviour will be your trademark.  
-----

If I'm sent to confront, I will confront as boldly as necessary. How the recipient reacts is for the recipient to worry about.

Quote:

-----Remember that with all your demands on Greg and insinuations of cowardice that you are still a guest here.  
-----

I've made no demands and would make no demands other than those which the Lord would send me to deliver which is simply, repent.

Quote:

-----PM your host in the spirit of humility if you are in fact concerned with the issue.  
-----

Apparently, you have no idea what humility is. Godly humility is simply understanding who one truly is, no more and no less. I know exactly who I am. The problem is that vast numbers of 'christians' have been falsely taught/programmed that a person of humility must always be soft-spoken. This is not the case. The only thing that I'm guilty of is being direct.

For everyone's information, I actually already have given Greg opportunity to speak to me or test me privately. His mode is like the very system which many on this forum rail against, avoid and close the doors in hopes that it'll go away. If he or anyone was truly speaking by the Spirit of the Lord, they'd be able to put everything which I've done or said to shame. There wouldn't be any avoidance.

Quote:

-----Or contact Zac Poonen's ministry, though I'm pretty sure they'll discern the spirit and avoid you as well.  
-----

Like I stated earlier, I already have. Perhaps they will answer and perhaps they won't. The truth is that it doesn't matter. Especially since no one has been able to speak against ANYTHING which I've been directed to say as error. Instead, the best that opposition could come up with was to attempt to justify and divert attention.

With all of that being said, you may do as you will. But regardless of how you regard the things which have been pointed out and shared, know that the truth has been spoken and the darkness has been revealed here. You can't escape it no matter how much damage control is attempted.

Feel free to ban me, but one day the truth is going to have to be dealt with and there won't be any escape from it.

William Floyd  
restorethechurch.org

**Re: - posted by PaulWest (), on: 2010/5/8 18:23**

I am not impressed by the "protector of righteousness" banner you purport to labor under. The bottom line is that your spirit is wrong, and it is clearly discernable. I understand the need to challenge error, and I also acknowledge that we at SermonIndex are certainly not infallible nor beyond reproach; however, if you are going to set someone straight, make sure you are under the authority of God to do so. Otherwise it causes you to look foolish and immature, which is what you are doing to yourself here.

The bogus cavalier "feel free to ban me, I don't care because I speak the truth" attitude you've adopted also does nothing to improve your maturity stature. Please note, I am not saying you are wrong or right in critiquing Zac Poonen and/or Greg Gordon; I am merely saying that the spirit you are doing it in is wrong, and thus God has lent no authority to your words.

I am therefore obligated to ask you to move on, to please take your contention elsewhere on the internet.

Thank you for understanding,

Brother Paul

**Re: , on: 2010/5/8 18:38**

Quote:

-----The bottom line is that your spirit is wrong, and it is clearly discernable.  
-----

Or it's yours that's wrong? This is the best that you can come up with? How predictable is that, and weak at the same time? You'd do better to just let Greg answer for himself and leave this alone because your sword isn't very sharp, neither is your arm very strong in wielding it.

Quote:

-----Otherwise it causes you to look foolish and immature, which is what you are doing to yourself here.  
-----

Again, is this all you can come up with as a rebuttal? Where's words of truth? The damage is already done, you'd better spend your energy reviewing the truth of what I've shared than attempting to divert through empty character attacks. It makes you look weak and childish.

Quote:

-----Please note, I am not saying you are wrong or right in critiquing Zac Poonen and/or Greg Gordon; I am merely saying that the spirit you are doing it in is wrong, and thus God has lent no authority to your words here.  
-----

Of course you can't. If you openly admitted the truth of what I've been sent to reveal, then you'd have to act appropriately and it'd make you look like a total fool in interjecting yourself at all. The way you're going, is simply an attempt to save the credibility of your friend, rather than being loyal to the truth, first and foremost. Rather than dealing with delivery, you and everyone else, needs to look at the truth before delivery. It would solve many of the problems present amongst the Body.

Are you sure you want to continue this, Paul? I wasn't sent to you. You brought this on yourself. Like I said before, Greg is a grown man. I'm sure he can speak for himself if he so chooses. You're doing more to hurt his credibility than you are in establishing his righteousness.

Re: - posted by sermonindex (), on: 2010/5/8 18:47

Greg Gordon, where did you find this article in it's entirety?

--

Hi, it is an email devotional that is emailed out from his church [www.crfindia.com](http://www.crfindia.com) I would ask you brother not to argue about these things but rather benefit from what you can from the article and leave others to the same. I feel alot of your arguments are not beneficial as you are doing this to a world-wide audience. May God give us a seal on our lips and let us speak only that which is edifying and towards His eternal purposes.

Re: , on: 2010/5/8 18:57

Quote:

-----Hi, it is an email devotional that is emailed out from his church [www.crfindia.com](http://www.crfindia.com)  
-----

Thank you, Greg. You really should have spoken up sooner. But the truth is that it didn't really matter whether Zac or someone else was responsible for that article, did it?

Quote:

-----I would ask you brother not to argue about these things but rather benefit from what you can from the article and leave others to the same.  
-----

A little leaven, leavens the whole lump. You fashion yourself as a revivalist, someone who is to lead and you don't understand this truth? Huh?

Quote:

-----I feel alot of your arguments are not beneficial as you are doing this to a world-wide audience.  
-----

Of course you would feel that way. You're the one who's been called out, and since your carnality is promoted all over the world, it must be stood against publicly so that other won't fall into your errors and those who already have may turn from them.

I sincerely hope that you search yourself and turn from your foolish ways before you're truly humbled in a manner more dramatic than simply being rebuke over a computer screen. You've been given opportunity to change your ways and be a part of what's about to begin. Make the most of it.

Quote:

-----May God give us a seal on our lips and let us speak only that which is edifying and towards His eternal purposes.  
-----

Amen.

**Re: - posted by passerby, on: 2010/5/8 19:36**

As I see it, the article from cfcindia does not contain damnable heresies. Its author et al don't deserved to be put in such swaggering imputations, unless the reader or the accuser is misinformed or otherwise is suffering from delusional thoughts.

I am thinking that this thread be cleaned from comments with the article from cfcindia left alone.

**Re: , on: 2010/5/8 19:37**

Greg Gordon is a good guy in my humble and yet accurate opinion.  
He is a Canadian after all.

As my fellow minister Rev Noitall would say. "It's not only what you know that counts but how you express what you know."

**Re: - posted by passerby, on: 2010/5/8 20:02**

Such view on the character of God has been circulating among the believers for a long time, possibly even before I was born.

The concept is being applied to parent-child or brother to brother relationships when I first heard it.

**Re: - posted by longsuffer, on: 2010/5/8 23:08**

I think you Annonamus need an attitude adjustment  
Either Zac Poonen has told you the truth about something  
Or you have no clue who He is. Because something about him is bugging you.  
This article just came out this past week and if you would be Prescribed to CFC you would have gotten it to.  
L.S.

**Re: , on: 2010/5/9 0:01**

Wow. You 'cult' of people are worse than I thought.

You change a mans name into a lie. Ye double minded.

He said his name was William Floyd from restorethechurch.org

You set of folk need to come out of that junk mess your in.

Change my screen name to WilliamsBrother.

:)

**Re: - posted by hmmhmm (), on: 2010/5/9 3:37**

anonymous means the users account has been removed/deleted.

**Re: , on: 2010/5/9 4:54**

hmmhmm,  
Thank you for clarifying.

What exactly does throwing someone out for sharing their heart accomplish?

Why did the young warrior go to slay the Giant? Because the Giant mocked YHWH! Much as some of you people do, speaking in vain.

Turn to the LORD from your defiled religion and philosophy.

Who besides the oppressed fears the oppressor?

Do you fear William Floyd? He only speaks the truth to you ALL!

Do you fear the truth? For the Spirit is Truth.

YHWH provides. Not Me, Not You.

YHWH is my Shield and my Great Reward.

Bow Down to your false-gods NO MORE!!!

Bow Down to man NO MORE!!!

Or ... Lay in Your Fear for More Confusion.

Much Love.

:)

**Re: - posted by hmmhmm (), on: 2010/5/9 5:26**

Well exactly why he got deleted i can not say. But i know the forum rules and what they say.  
quote:

-If you're told to change the way you're behaving here, you must comply or leave.

also i would like to urge you not use wording as this "Turn to the LORD from your defiled religion and philosophy." this is a very serious accusation and should not be made when knowing perhaps not all or very intimate clear understanding of a brother or sisters faith.

When asked by a moderator to stop/change something you may submit or not. It is a choice you make.

**Re: , on: 2010/5/9 6:32**

hmmhmm,

So as long as I tuck my tail and bow my head to carnality, I am welcome, huh?

As long as I don't point out uncleanness, I am welcome, huh?

The Holy Word of God says exactly what I said, but as long as I bow my head I am welcome to be part of your 'revival-plans', huh?

The LORD is my Shepard, I don't know who lied to you.

The Holy Word of God says that I am to separate myself willing ...

And do what ???

Shake the Dust from my feet as a testimony against your house.

Your Religion is modeled after mans own righteousness.

You all ban us because we Love God more than we Love you!!! That means those you cast out are the true children of Israel!!!

Yet you never even stop to think about anything other than your own envy and self-seeking power!

Go on to your New Age Christianity rulers and worship them!

Go on and bow down to the spirit of the pharasee!

Go on and bow down to the spirit of the sadducee!

Go on and bow down to yourself!

Go on and BOW DOWN, but I choose to STAND!

REPENT OF YOUR FALSE BELIEF SYSTEM! READ YOUR WORD OF GOD! CARRY YOUR CROSS FOR JESUS CHRIST! TURN FROM satans ministers AND WALK IN THE LIGHT! DO YOU NOT LOVE JESUS CHRIST? DO YOU NOT WANT TO SEE A MOVEMENT OF GOD AND SAVED SOULS??? YOU SHUT GOD OFF, AND PUT HIM IN A BOX! ... REPENT! TURN TO JESUS CHRIST!

REPENT OF YOUR WORDLY, FALSE, WATERED DOWN, CHRISTIANITY!!!

The Real Jesus Christ can deliver You from your Bondage! Turn to JESUS the CHRIST!!!

DON'T STAY IN CORRUPTED ORGANIZATIONS!!!

CARRY THE CROSS!!!

:)

**Re: , on: 2010/5/9 6:57**

Hmmhmm,

You made a comment about me not knowing peoples "faith/walk level". Please forgive me for not having your exact quote.

Jesus taught not to forbid the children to come unto Him.  
Paul taught not to let mankind despise you for your youth.

So you reproof me contradicting both teachings.

Bye-Bye. :)

((Shake,Shake))